## MEMO ENDORSED

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GREGORY MARONEY AND HENRY H. HEUMANN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WOODSTREAM CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 7:19-CV-08294-KMK

Honorable Kenneth M. Karas

**DEFENDANT WOODSTREAM CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF RESPONSE AND** RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MAINTAINING CERTAIN DOCUMENTS UNDER **SEAL** 

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Woodstream Corporation ("Woodstream") has filed the instant Response in Support of Maintaining Certain Documents under Seal as initially requested by Plaintiffs in their Motion to File Provisionally Under Seal in Support of Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 113, "Motion to Seal"). This Notice is pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(b), which requires notice for oppositions that "seek[] relief that goes beyond the denial of the motion." Here, Woodstream's Response does not seek denial of Plaintiffs' motion to seal. Instead, for the reasons set forth in Woodstream's concurrently filed memorandum and declaration, Woodstream requests the Court *grant* Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal, and permanently maintain Woodstream's confidential information and documents under seal, specifically:

- 1. Exhibit 20 to the Declaration of Timothy Blood in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 115-1).
- 2. Redacted portions of Plaintiffs' Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 114).<sup>1</sup>

This Response in Support of Maintaining Certain Documents Under Seal, and included request for relief, is based on: (1) Woodstream's accompanying Memorandum in Support and the Declaration of Ashley Brown; (2) Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal (Dkt. 113), Sealed Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 114), and Sealed Declaration of Timothy Blood in Support of Reply Class Certification (Dkt. 115); and (3) the Court's prior order granting Motion to Seal (Dkt. 101).

DATED: October 16, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Robyn E Bladow
Robyn E. Bladow
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
555 South Flower Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071

.

Plaintiffs also move to seal certain portions of their reply brief on page 4 that contain information this Court previously ordered to remain under seal. (Dkt. 101). The parties agree that this information should continue to remain under seal and be redacted in Plaintiffs' reply brief.

Telephone: (213) 680-8400 Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

Email: robyn.bladow@kirkland.com

Savannah L. Jensen Jake A. Feiler KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3700 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (310) 552-4200 Facsimile: (310) 552-5900

Email: savannah.jensen@kirkland.com Email: jake.feiler@kirkland.com

Jay P. Lefkowitz KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 601 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (212) 446-4833

Telephone: (212) 446-4833 Facsimile: (212) 446-6460

Email: lefkowitz@kirkland.com

Attorneys for Defendant Woodstream Corporation

Defendant's request is granted. The Court notes that Plaintiffs do not oppose the request. The following documents and information should be maintained under seal: (1) Exhibit 20 to the Declaration of Timothy Blood in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. No. 115-1); (2) redacted portions of Plaintiffs' Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. No. 114) that reference or quote from Woodstream's confidential documents.

SO ORDERED.

J-M/S

10/24/2024