Diallo v. Unlimited Care Inc. Doc. 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FATOUMATA BINTA DIALLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNLIMITED CARE INC.,

Defendant.

24-CV-2771 (CS)
ORDER OF SERVICE

CATHY SEIBEL, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se*, brings this action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e to 2000e-17, alleging that her former employer discriminated against her based on her pregnancy. By order dated June 4, 2024, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), that is, without prepayment of fees.

DISCUSSION

Because Plaintiff has been granted permission to proceed IFP, she is entitled to rely on the Court and the U.S. Marshals Service to effect service. Walker v. Schult, 717 F.3d. 119, 123 n.6 (2d Cir. 2013); see also 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) ("The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process . . . in [IFP] cases."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3) (the court must order the Marshals Service to serve if the plaintiff is authorized to proceed IFP).

To allow Plaintiff to effect service on Defendant Unlimited Care Inc. through the U.S. Marshals Service, the Clerk of Court is instructed to fill out a U.S. Marshals Service Process

¹Although Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure generally requires that a summons be served within 90 days of the date the complaint is filed, Plaintiff is proceeding IFP and could not have effected service until the Court reviewed the complaint and ordered that a summons be issued. The Court therefore extends the time to serve until 90 days after the summons is issued.

Receipt and Return form ("USM-285 form") for the defendant. The Clerk of Court is further

instructed to issue a summons and deliver to the Marshals Service all the paperwork necessary

for the Marshals Service to effect service upon that defendant.

If the complaint is not served within 90 days after the date the summons is issued,

Plaintiff should request an extension of time for service. See Meilleur v. Strong, 682 F.3d 56, 63

(2d Cir. 2012) (holding that it is the plaintiff's responsibility to request an extension of time for

service).

Plaintiff must notify the Court in writing if her address changes, and the Court may

dismiss the action if Plaintiff fails to do so.

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is instructed to issue a summons for Defendant Unlimited Care Inc.,

complete the USM-285 form with the address for that defendant, and deliver all documents

necessary to effect service to the U.S. Marshals Service.

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail an information package to Plaintiff.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

June 5, 2024

White Plains, New York

CATHY SEIBEL

United States District Judge

Cathy Seifel

2

SERVICE ADDRESS FOR EACH DEFENDANT

1. Unlimited Care Inc. 733 Yonkers Avenue Suite LL1 Yonkers, NY 10704