

EXHIBIT D

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PAUL D. CEGLIA,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. : 1:10-
cv-00569-RJA

v.

MARK ELLIOT ZUCKERBERG, Individually, and
FACEBOOK, INC.

INTERROGATORIES TO
DEFENDANT FACEBOOK

Defendants.

Pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court's orders, Plaintiff Paul Ceglia propound the following written interrogatories to Defendant Facebook whom shall respond to these interrogatories separately and fully, in writing by a representative of Facebook and under oath by that representative, with the responses reasonably amended as required by law.

INSTRUCTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

1. The following apply to all interrogatories
 - a. The terms "any," "all," "each," and "every" should be understood to be either their most inclusive or least inclusive sense as necessary to bring within the scope of any interrogatory, all information otherwise construed to be outside of its scope.
 - b. The terms "and" and "or" are to be construed disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to include all information that might otherwise be considered outside of the scope of these interrogatories
 - c. The use of the singular and plural are interchangeable.

- d. If an answer is based on information and belief, specify and identify the source of the information and the grounds for the belief.
- e. You must provide all requested information, not subject to a valid objection, that is known to, possessed by or available to you,
- f. If you are unable to answer any of the interrogatories, you must answer as fully as possible and explain your inability to answer the remainder.
- g. If you object to any interrogatory on the basis of attorney/client or work product privilege, identify the privilege claimed as well as each statement or communication for which such privilege is claimed, together with the following information for each such statement or communication: (a) the date made; (b) the persons present; (c) the subject matter; and (d) the basis on which the privilege is claimed.

DEFINITIONS

1. “You” and “Your” refers to Defendant Facebook and any of your agents or independent contractors.
2. Facebook Contract or “the contract” or “the contract between the parties” refers to the two page paper document presented to Defendants’ experts in July 2011 for evaluation and examination at the offices of Harris Beach in Buffalo, New York.
3. References to “Facebook” include “thefacebook, Inc.” and vice versa.
4. “Person” refers to any natural person or any business, legal or governmental entity or association in any form.
5. “Plaintiff” refers to Paul D. Ceglia.

6. "Defendants" refers to any of the following parties as well as their employees, agents, independent contractors or affiliated entities: Mark Elliot Zuckerberg and Facebook.

INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1

Assuming the Facebook Contract between the parties is authentic, please define the terms "software", "programming language" and "business interests" as they appear in the contract.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2

Assuming the Facebook Contract between the parties is authentic, what intellectual property rights did the contract convey to Plaintiff in the software, programming language and business interests defined in #1 above?

INTERROGATORY NO. 3

Assuming the Facebook Contract between the parties is authentic, what are the ownership interests of all persons whom you claim owned any portion of the software, programming language and business interests defined in #1 above after execution of the Facebook Contract on April 28, 2003?

INTERROGATORY NO. 4

Assuming the Facebook Contract between the parties is authentic, list all persons with an ownership interest in the software, programming language and business interests as defined by Defendant Zuckerberg at the time of the incorporation of thefacebook, Inc. (Facebook) in July 2004 and their respective percentage of ownership of each of the three items (i.e. software, programming language, business interests).

INTERROGATORY NO. 5

Assuming the Facebook Contract between the parties is authentic, list the percentages of each person's ownership interest in the software, programming language and business interests as defined in #1 that was transferred into Facebook on or after July 2004.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6

Assuming the Facebook Contract between the parties is authentic, list the consideration paid by Facebook to all persons whom Defendant Zuckerberg claimed owned an interest in the software, programming language and business interests as defined by Defendant Zuckerberg in exchange for the transfer of that percentage ownership into Facebook on or after July 2004.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7

Did Defendant Zuckerberg use any portion of the StreetFax software for any purpose related to Facebook and if so:

- (a) on what date did that use begin
- (b) how was the StreetFax software or any portion of it used for any purpose related to Facebook
- (c) on what date did use of that StreetFax software terminate?

INTERROGATORY NO. 8

Assuming the Facebook Contract between the parties is authentic, did Defendant Zuckerberg inform Facebook upon its incorporation that he did not own 100% of the software, programming language and business interests of the business?

INTERROGATORY NO. 9

If your answer to the previous question is "no", what percentage ownership in the software, programming language, and business interests did Defendant Zuckerberg tell Facebook he owned upon its incorporation?

INTERROGATORY NO. 10

List and describe all documents, including the year executed and all parties signing those documents reflecting the transfer into Facebook of any software, programming language and business interests as defined in #1 above.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11

Provide the date when Defendant Zuckerberg's ownership interest in Facebook fell below 50%.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12

Please identify the current owner(s) of the software, programing language and business interests as defined by Zuckerberg relating to the Facebook Contract and those owners' respective percentages of ownership of each of those three items.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13

Was the business operated by Facebook just after incorporation in July 2004 the same business being operated by Defendant Zuckerberg just before incorporation?

INTERROGATORY NO. 14

Assuming the Facebook Contract between the parties is authentic, what percentage ownership in the software, programming language and business interests of the business did Plaintiff own at the time of the incorporation of Facebook?

INTERROGATORY NO. 15

Assuming the Facebook Contract between the parties is authentic, was Plaintiff's interest in the software, programming language and business interests of the business transferred into Facebook and if so, what was the date of that transfer?

INTERROGATORY NO. 16

Assuming the Facebook Contract between the parties is authentic and Plaintiff's interest in the software, programming language and business interests of the business was transferred into Facebook when was the fact of that transfer first publicly disclosed?

INTERROGATORY NO. 17

List Plaintiff's current percentage ownership interest, respectively, in Facebook's software, programming language and business interests.

INTERROGATORY NO. 18

List all dates when you or Defendant Zuckerberg breached the Facebook Contract with Plaintiff and

- (a) the act(s) which you claim breached the agreement; and
- (b) whether that act(s) was ever repeated at any later point in time; and
- (c) when that act(s) was communicated to Plaintiff, if ever; and
- (d) when that act(s) was publicly disclosed, if ever.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Dean Boland

Paul A. Argentieri
188 Main Street
Hornell, NY 14843
607-324-3232 phone
607-324-6188
paul.argentieri@gmail.com

Dean Boland
1475 Warren Road
Unit 770724
Lakewood, Ohio 44107
216-236-8080 phone
866-455-1267 fax
dean@bolandlegal.com

