EXHIBIT O

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	No. 1:10-cv-00569-RJA
3)
	PAUL D. CEGLIA,)
4)
	Plaintiff,)
5)
	vs.
6)
	MARK ELLIOT ZUCKERBERG,)
7	Individually, and)
	FACEBOOK, INC.,
8)
	Defendants.)
9	
10	
11	
	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher
12	200 Park Avenue
1 0	New York, New York 10166-0193
13	July 16, 2012
1 1	10:06 A.M.
14 15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
10	Walter John Rantanen, II
17	waiter bonn Kantanen, 11
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported by:
2 4	DEBRA SAPIO LYONS, RDR, CRR, CCR, CPE
2 5	

	Page 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
	July 16, 2012
6	
7	Videotaped deposition of Walter
8	John Rantanen, II, held at the offices of
9	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, 200 Park Avenue,
10	New York, New York 10166-0193, before
11	Debra Sapio Lyons, a Registered Diplomat
12	Reporter, a Certified Realtime Reporter, a
13	Certified LiveNote Reporter, an Approved
L 4	Reporter of the United States District
15	Court for the Eastern District of
16	Pennsylvania, a Certified Court Reporter
17	of the State of New Jersey, a Notary
18	Public of the States of New Jersey, New
19	York and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
2 0	
21	
2 2	
2 3	
2 4	

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
	BOLAND LEGAL
3	BY: DEAN BOLAND, ESQUIRE
	1475 Warren Road #770724
4	Lakewood, Ohio 44107
	216.236.8080
5	$\mathtt{dean@bolandlegal.com}$
	Counsel for Paul D. Ceglia
6	
7	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER
	BY: MATTHEW BENJAMIN, ESQUIRE
8	ALEXANDER H. SOUTHWELL, ESQUIRE
	AMANDA AYCOCK, ESQUIRE
9	200 Park Avenue
	New York, New York 10166-0193
10	212.351.4079
	212.351.3981
11	212.351.2347
	${\tt mbenjamin@gibsondunn.com}$
12	${\tt asouthwell@gibsondunn.com}$
	$\mathtt{aaycock@gibsondunn.com}$
13	Counsel for Mark Elliot
	Zuckerberg, Individually, and
14	Facebook, Inc.
15	
	ALSO PRESENT:
16	
	JAMES THOMPSON
17	Gibson Dunn
18	DEVERELL WRITE, Videographer
1.0	Veritext New York
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
2 5	

1	Walter J. Rantanen, II
2	A. I'm I'm sorry. Could you
3	say that again?
4	Q. The conclusion that the
5	samples are consistent with coming from
6	the same mill and production run means
7	that that possibility cannot be
8	rejected as factually impossible?
9	A. Right, correct.
10	Q. It's also not factually
11	impossible that they came from
12	different mills?
13	A. True.
L 4	Q. Or different production
15	runs?
16	A. True.
17	Q. You just couldn't
18	differentiate the samples at this level
19	of analysis?
2 0	A. Correct.
21	Q. And as you previously
22	testified, a single run can produce
23	millions of sheets of paper; right?
2 4	A. Well, you mentioned a
2 5	million and I said that seemed kind of

1	Walter J. Rantanen, II
2	A. There you go.
3	Q. Do you see that?
4	A. Yes, I do.
5	Q. And about halfway down
6	Paragraph 164 he writes, "What that
7	means," referring to your conclusion in
8	your report, "What that means is that
9	the actual sheets of paper that were
10	used for Page 1 and Page 2 of the
11	Facebook contract pages were created on
12	the same day."
13	Did I read that correctly?
14	A. That's what I I read.
15	Q. And as you previously
16	testified, you did not conclude that
17	the paper samples you examined came, in
18	fact, from the same production run;
19	right?
20	A. I said it was consistent
21	with. I did not say it definitely came
22	from that production run.
23	Q. Right. And as you
24	previously testified, a production run
25	can last more than one day; right?

1	Walter J. Rantanen, II
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. So this statement in
4	Paragraph 164 of Mr. Blanco's sworn
5	report is untrue?
6	MR. BOLAND: Objection.
7	THE WITNESS: It I I
8	think it's missing the word
9	possibly.
10	BY MR. BENJAMIN:
11	Q. In other words, if you were
12	to rewrite this sentence so that it was
13	accurate, you would include the
L 4	words the word "possibly" before
15	"created on the same day"?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. But this sentence does not
18	include the word possible possibly?
19	A. No, it does not.
2 0	Q. And to be clear, you are not
21	offering the opinion that the paper
2 2	samples you examined were created on
2 3	the same day; right?
2 4	A. Yes, I'm not offering that
2 5	opinion.

1	Walter J. Rantanen, II
2	Q. So as written, this
3	statement isn't accurate; right?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Now, Mr. Blanco had an
6	opportunity to examine each full page
7	of the purported contract at issue in
8	this case, but as you testified
9	earlier, you didn't have that
10	opportunity; right?
11	A. No, I did not see the full
12	document.
13	Q. Right. So you didn't
14	examine the opacity of each page of
15	A. Right.
16	Q the document; right?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. You didn't examine the
19	texture of each page of the document;
20	right?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And you didn't conduct any
23	physical measurements on each full page
24	of the document; right?
25	A. That's correct.

1	Walter J. Rantanen, II
2	Q. Needless to say, your
3	analysis did not confirm that the
4	opacity of Page 1 and Page 2 of the
5	document is the same; right?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. Nor did your analysis
8	confirm that the coddling of Page 1 and
9	Page 2 of the document is the same;
10	right?
11	A. What what is the meaning
12	of coddling?
13	Q. Have you ever heard that
14	word coddling used in reference to the
15	analysis of paper?
16	A. I've heard cockling.
17	Q. What is cockling?
18	A. Cockling is where the you
19	get a roughness in the sheet.
20	Q. Like a wrinkling?
21	A. Yes.
22	What what is coddling?
23	Q. You know, honestly I'm not
24	sure, so let's go with cockling.
25	Your analysis did not

1	Walter J. Rantanen, II
2	confirm that the cockling of Page 1 and
3	Page 2 of the document is the same;
4	right?
5	A. I there was no way I
6	could tell that.
7	Q. Of course. So at Paragraph
8	165 on the same page, Mr. Blanco
9	writes, "This chemical testing report
10	by Walter J. Rantanen confirms my
11	measurements and visual examinations.
12	That is, I previously reported that the
13	results of my inspection was that
14	'these features were the same between
15	both pages' (Document 194, Paragraph
16	21.e) and now we have the chemical
17	analysis by IPS Testing Experts that
18	supports my initial measurements and
19	visual observations that the paper of
20	Page 1 and Page 2 of the Faceback (sic)
21	contract are the same."
22	Did I read that correctly?
23	A. I believe so.
24	MR. BENJAMIN: I would ask
25	the Court Reporter to mark as

1	Walter J. Rantanen, II
2	Defendant's Exhibit 36 the November
3	8th, 2011 Declaration cited in
4	Paragraph 165 of Mr. Blanco's
5	report.
6	(Exhibit Defendant's Exhibit
7	36, November 1st, 2011 Declaration
8	Of James A. Blanco In Support Of
9	Motion For Sanctions Against
10	Defendants For Spoliation Of
11	Evidence, is marked for
12	identification.)
13	MR. BENJAMIN: Mr. Boland.
1 4	MR. BOLAND: Thanks.
15	BY MR. BENJAMIN:
16	Q. I'm now handing you
17	Defendant's Exhibit 36, Mr. Rantanen.
18	I'm sorry. I described that
19	incorrectly on the record. I described
2 0	it as a November 8th declaration. It's
21	actually was filed November 1st, 2011.
22	Do you see that date at the
23	top?
2 4	A. Oh, up here, yes.
2 5	Q. Yes.

1 Walter J. Rantanen, II 2 Α. Okay. Yes. 3 And that in Paragraph 165 Ο. 4 Mr. Blanco refers to Document 194, is 5 that right, of -- of the report that I 6 just read? 7 Α. Yes. And the words Document 194 8 Ο. 9 are at the top of this document, Defendant's Exhibit 36? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Okay. And at Paragraph 21.e Q. 13 on Page 7, Mr. Blanco wrote, "I 14 examined the opacity and the coddling 15 features, parentheses, texture of Pages 16 1 and 2 of the Facebook contract and 17 these features were the same between both pages." 18 19 I'm -- I'm sorry. I quess Α. 20 I -- I got lost there. Where --21 Oh, that -- that's okay. Ο. 22 Α. -- where are you? 23 I'm on -- in the Declaration Ο. 24 that I just handed you, Defendant's

Exhibit 36 --

1	Walter J. Rantanen, II
2	of the work-for-hire document; right?
3	A. No, I did not confirm any.
4	Q. You didn't measure the
5	opacity of
6	A. No.
7	Q any document?
8	A. No.
9	Q. And your report did not
10	confirm Mr. Blanco's measurements of
11	the texture of the document; right?
12	A. That's correct 'cause I
13	you pretty much need a bigger area in
14	order to see that.
15	Q. Right. What Mr. Blanco
16	refers to as the coddling of the
17	document?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. You testified that's the
20	proper term is cockling?
21	A. No, that I think that's
22	something else. I think what he means
23	is the finish, the surface finish.
2 4	From what he's written here, that's

what it appears. I've -- I've never

1	Walter J. Rantanen, II
2	heard coddling before, but
3	Q. Okay. So just to summarize,
4	Mr. Blanco asserted that your analysis
5	confirmed that Page 1 and Page 2 of the
6	document are actually from the same
7	production run. Mr. Blanco's statement
8	is incorrect; right?
9	MR. BOLAND: Objection.
10	THE WITNESS: As stating
11	absolutely that it would be from the
12	same run, yes, that is incorrect.
13	BY MR. BENJAMIN:
14	Q. Mr. Blanco asserted that
15	your analysis confirmed that Page 1 and
16	Page 2 of the document, quote, "Were
17	created on the same day," end quote.
18	That statement is incorrect; right?
19	A. Yes, I could not definitely
20	say that.
21	Q. Mr. Blanco asserted that
22	your analysis confirmed that Page 1 and
23	Page 2 of the document have the same
24	opacity. That statement is incorrect;

opacity. That statement is incorrect;

right?

1 Walter J. Rantanen,

- A. Yes, I could not measure the opacity.
 - Q. Mr. Blanco asserted that your analysis confirmed that Page 1 and Page 2 of the document have the same texture.
 - A. That --
 - Q. That statement is incorrect.
 - A. Yes, 'cause I could not tell that with the small amount of sample.
 - Q. And, in fact, you didn't examine the full Page 1 or 2 of the document; right?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. Thank you.
- Mr. Rantanen, in your report
 you refer to punch outs. Is that your
 term for the .75 -- approximately .75
 millimeter samples that you were
 provided?
- A. Yes. They are -- I suspect
 they were -- it's a micro punch I
 suspect. I didn't -- ink chemists tend
 to use those to punch out areas to test

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14