

1
1
2
2
3
3
4
4
5
5
6
6
7
7
8
8
9
9
10
10
11
11
12
12
13
13
14
14
15
15
16
16
17
17
18
18
19
19
20
20
21
21
22
23
24
25

CBSVCEGN Arraignment
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
-----x

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

PAUL CEGLIA,

Defendant.

12 CR 876 (ALC)

-----x

New York, N.Y.
November 28, 2012
2:50 PO

Before:

HON. ANDREW L. CARTER, JR.,

District Judge

APPEARANCES

PREET BHARARA,
United States Attorney for the
Southern District of New York
JANIS M. ECHENBERG
CHRISTOPHER D. FREY
Assistant United States Attorneys

FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK
Attorneys for Defendant
DAVID PATTON

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 (In open court)

2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Criminal cause for an arraignment
3 in Case No. 12 CR 876. United States v. Paul Ceglia.

4 Counsel, please state your appearance for the
5 government.

6 MR. FREY: Good afternoon, your Honor.

7 Christopher Frey and Janis Echenberg for the
8 government.

9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: For the defendant?

10 MR. PATTON: Good afternoon, your Honor.

11 David Patton for Mr. Ceglia.

12 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

13 Good afternoon, Mr. Ceglia.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Is this Mr. Ceglia's first appearance in
16 this district?

17 MR. FREY: It is, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 And Mr. Ceglia was arraigned on a complaint in a
20 different district; is that correct?

21 MR. FREY: That's correct, your Honor.

22 The defendant was arrested on October 26th and
23 presented in the Western District of New York on that day.

24 At that time, bail was set for the defendant. The
25 government, when I sought detention, sought to have the bail

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 determination stayed until it could be appealed to a judge in
2 this district. It was appealed to Judge McMahon, who was
3 sitting in Part 1. A bail appeal hearing was heard on October
4 31st, and Judge McMahon did set stricter bail conditions for
5 this defendant. And the defendant satisfied those conditions
6 and was released on November 9th of this year.

7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

8 Since this is Mr. Ceglia's first appearance in this
9 district, first appearance on the indictment, we'll go ahead
10 and go through some of the other preliminary matters that may
11 have been covered in the Western District of New York just in
12 an abundance of caution.

13 Mr. Ceglia, since that's the case, there are two
14 purposes for the proceedings today:

15 First, I want to make sure that you understand your
16 rights and the nature of the charges against you. And I
17 believe the issue of bail has already been dealt with, but we
18 can deal with that again, if necessary.

19 Do you understand, Mr. Ceglia?

20 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: First, regarding your rights, Mr. Ceglia,
22 you have the right to remain silent, do you understand?

23 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

24 THE COURT: Anything that you say can be used against
25 you, except what you say in private to your attorney.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 Do you understand?

2 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

3 THE COURT: You don't have to make any statements to
4 the government. Even if you've previously made statements to
5 the government, you don't have to continue making statements to
6 the government.

7 Do you understand?

8 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: In addition, Mr. Ceglia, you have the
10 right to be represented by an attorney.

11 Do you understand?

12 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

13 THE COURT: If you cannot afford to hire an attorney,
14 the Court will give you an attorney for free.

15 Do you understand?

16 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Toward that end, you filled out a
18 financial affidavit. Is this, in fact, your signature on this
19 financial affidavit, Mr. Ceglia?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 Based on the information provided in the financial
23 affidavit, I find that you qualify for court-appointed counsel.
24 So I will appoint David Patton, Federal Defenders of New York,
25 to represent you for today's purposes and all future purposes.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN

Arraignment

1 Do you understand, Mr. Ceglia?

2 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: And, again, Mr. Ceglia, you have the right
4 to be represented by an attorney at every stage of this
5 criminal litigation.

6 Do you understand?

7 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

8 THE COURT: And I have appointed counsel to represent
9 you at every stage of this litigation free of charge.

10 Do you understand?

11 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Let's turn to the charges in this case.

13 Mr. Ceglia, have you received a copy of the indictment
14 that's been filed against you in this case?

15 THE DEFENDANT: I have.

16 THE COURT: And have you reviewed it with your
17 attorney?

18 THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: And do you feel that you understand what
20 you've been charged with?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

22 THE COURT: I'm not going to read the entire
23 indictment, but I will summarize it for you.

24 There are two criminal counts in the indictment; do
25 you understand, Mr. Ceglia?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN

Arraignment

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: The indictment is based on an allegation
3 of fraud overall.

4 Do you understand?

5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: The government claims in the indictment
7 that you devised or intended to devise a scheme to defraud.

8 Do you understand?

9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

10 THE COURT: And the government claims in the
11 indictment that this scheme to defraud had a couple of primary
12 elements. The first element was an allegation that you
13 doctored or altered a contract.

14 Do you understand?

15 THE DEFENDANT: I do understand.

16 THE COURT: Also, another element of this overall
17 scheme that the government alleges that you devised was to file
18 a civil lawsuit.

19 Do you understand?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: And the government claims that, again,
22 this scheme was a scheme to defraud in order to obtain money
23 and other things of value that you otherwise would not be
24 entitled to.

25 Do you understand?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2 THE COURT: The government claims that in order to
3 carry out this scheme to defraud, that you engaged in two kinds
4 of conduct. The first type of conduct is contained in Count
5 One, the mail fraud count.

6 Do you understand?

7 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

8 THE COURT: And the government claims that in order to
9 carry out this scheme to defraud, you placed or caused to be
10 placed into a post office or authorized depository items with
11 the intention that those items would be delivered by the postal
12 service.

13 Do you understand?

14 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

15 THE COURT: In Count Two you're charged with wire
16 fraud.

17 Do you understand?

18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

19 THE COURT: The government claims in order to carry
20 out this overall scheme to defraud, that you transmitted or
21 caused to be transmitted by means of wire communication in
22 interstate and foreign commerce writings, signs, signals,
23 pictures, and sounds for the purpose of carrying out this
24 overall scheme to defraud.

25 Do you understand?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN

Arraignment

1 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: There are also forfeiture allegations in
3 the indictment. Have you seen those?

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

5 THE COURT: And have you reviewed those with your
6 attorney?

7 THE DEFENDANT: I have.

8 THE COURT: Counsel for the government, have I
9 adequately summarized the charges in the indictment?

10 MR. FREY: Yes, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Counsel for the defense, is there anything
12 you'd like me to add?

13 MR. PATTON: No, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: So, again, that's the summary of the
15 charges against you in the indictment, Mr. Ceglia.

16 Do you understand the charges against you?

17 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: And, Mr. Patton, you've gone over the
19 indictment with Mr. Ceglia?

20 MR. PATTON: I have.

21 THE COURT: And in your opinion, does he understand
22 the nature of the charges against him?

23 MR. PATTON: He does, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Mr. Ceglia, how do you plead, guilty or
25 not guilty?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.

2 THE COURT: So now we can deal with the issue of bail.

3 My understanding is that the issue of bail has been
4 dealt with already by Judge McMahon. Is there anything else
5 that the parties are asking me to do considering bail at this
6 point?

7 Counsel for the government?

8 MR. FREY: Not at this time, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Counsel for the defense?

10 MR. PATTON: Not at this time, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

12 So Mr. Ceglia has now been arraigned on the
13 indictment. He's been informed of his rights; he's been
14 informed of the charges against him. He pleaded not guilty.
15 Bail will stay in place.

16 How do the parties wish to proceed at this point?

17 MR. FREY: Your Honor, the government can provide an
18 update as to its plans for production of discovery. I don't
19 know if your Honor wants to also set a motion calendar at this
20 time.

21 But with respect to discovery, the government intends
22 to begin a rolling production of discovery hopefully this week.

23 There are two components of discovery that are going
24 to slow the government's full production of discovery slightly;
25 one being that there's a significant amount of electronic

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 evidence that the government has gathered that will need to be
2 turned over to defense counsel. We already had some initial
3 conversations with defense counsel about that, and we just need
4 to work out the logistics. But the government's copy of that
5 material is currently outside of our own offices with an expert
6 witness who's been retained.

7 And second, there is some discovery material that the
8 government believes should be subject to a protective order.
9 We intend to provide defense counsel with a proposed protective
10 order or draft protective order this week. Hopefully, we'll be
11 able to reach agreement and submit a proposed protective order
12 to the Court later this week or early next week, and then that
13 will allow us to continue with our rolling production of those
14 materials.

15 I'll also note that the government currently has a
16 number of grand jury subpoenas disseminated and is awaiting
17 grand jury subpoena returns. And a lot of that material will
18 then need to be produced to defense counsel, as well.

19 The government anticipates that it will have
20 substantively completed its production of discovery within four
21 weeks' time.

22 THE COURT: Counsel for the defense, how do you wish
23 to proceed?

24 MR. PATTON: Your Honor, the discovery schedule is
25 fine by us.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 It's hard for me to lay out all of our motions and
2 what sort of time we would need at this point, given the
3 government has suggested that discovery may be fairly
4 voluminous.

5 But there's one motion that I anticipate that I don't
6 think is terribly dependent on the discovery, and that is a
7 venue motion. And I think that we could probably go ahead and
8 set a schedule for that. There's sort of a laundry list of
9 factors that goes into a venue motion. I think it can
10 sometimes be affected in some ways by the discovery, but I
11 don't think it should affect the motion significantly.

12 THE COURT: Let's do this: At this point, the
13 government anticipates that it can substantially produce the
14 bulk of the discovery within four weeks; is that correct?

15 MR. FREY: That's correct, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: And Mr. Patton intends to file, at least
17 at this point, a motion to dismiss for lack of venue.

18 MR. PATTON: Or, to clarify, your Honor, to transfer.

19 THE COURT: To transfer.

20 And it certainly might be appropriate to make sure
21 that Mr. Patton has reviewed some of the discovery before
22 making that motion.

23 So why don't we do this: What is six weeks from now,
24 Tara?

25 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Six weeks from today, Judge?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: That is January the 9th.

3 THE COURT: Okay. So if the discovery will be
4 complete in four weeks, let's set the motion filing date for
5 two weeks after that, which takes us to January 9th. Would
6 that be enough time to file your motion, Mr. Patton?

7 MR. PATTON: Yes, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: And then we'll give the government three
9 weeks to respond should be sufficient. That takes us to
10 January the 30th. And any reply should be filed by, I would
11 say, February the 8th. Is that a day of the week, Tara?

12 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, it is, Judge. It's a Friday.

13 THE COURT: And then let's have a return date for,
14 let's say, two weeks after that, I believe.

15 Are we available on February 23rd?

16 THE DEPUTY CLERK: That's a Saturday.

17 The 22nd. Yes, we are.

18 THE COURT: February 22nd?

19 THE DEPUTY CLERK: 10 a.m.?

20 MR. PATTON: Your Honor, I'm afraid I'm out of town
21 between the 20th and 22nd. If we could push it to the
22 following week.

23 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.

24 How is March 1st? Are we available then?

25 THE DEPUTY CLERK: No.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 THE COURT: How about earlier that week? The next
2 week? How about March 4th for a return date. Is anyone
3 available then?

4 MR. PATTON: Your Honor, I apologize. The 4th and 5th
5 are bad for me, as well. The 6th through the 8th are wide
6 open.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 THE DEPUTY CLERK: March 6 at 10?

9 THE COURT: How about March 6th at 10 o'clock?

10 MR. PATTON: Thank you.

11 THE COURT: And what's the parties' position regarding
12 the Speedy Trial Act between now and then?

13 MR. FREY: Your Honor, the government moves to exclude
14 time under the Speedy Trial Act between today and March 6, 2013
15 so that the government may produce discovery, defense may have
16 an opportunity to review that discovery, and make whatever
17 motions, including the motion to transfer venue, that defense
18 counsel deems appropriate. And the parties can brief that for
19 the Court.

20 THE COURT: Mr. Patton, anything to add?

21 MR. PATTON: No objection to the speedy trial.

22 Just want to clarify. We may ask for more time for
23 motions other than the venue motion?

24 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.

25 All right. So, Mr. Ceglia, ordinarily the government

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 has 70 days from the date of your indictment to take your case
2 to trial.

3 Do you understand?

4 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: If I enter this order of excludable delay,
6 I'm essentially calling a timeout from today until March the
7 6th; that time will not count toward that 70-day period.

8 Do you understand?

9 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

10 THE COURT: And ordinarily if the government failed to
11 take your case to trial within that 70-day period, you could
12 ask this Court to dismiss your indictment.

13 Do you understand?

14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

15 THE COURT: So by excluding this time, you could not
16 use the time from today until March 6th for a motion to dismiss
17 the indictment for lack of speedy trial.

18 Do you understand?

19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: And I'm going to enter this order of
21 excludable delay from today's date to March 6th for the reasons
22 stated on the record so that the government can provide
23 discovery, and so that Mr. Patton can review the discovery and
24 make his anticipated motion to transfer.

25 I find that it's in the interest of Mr. Ceglia and in
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 the interest of justice to enter this order of excludable
2 delay; and I find that the interest of justice and the interest
3 of Mr. Ceglia outweigh the public's interest in a speedy trial.
4 And I will enter an order to that effect.

5 Is there anything else from the government today?

6 MR. FREY: Your Honor, just a point of clarification.

7 On the return date, will your Honor be prepared to
8 hear oral argument?

9 THE COURT: Well, I anticipate that I will, but it
10 may -- we will certainly check in and see. It may be, from
11 what Mr. Patton has indicated, since he doesn't have the
12 discovery yet, it may be that once the discovery is produced,
13 it may be that he wishes to file another motion. But at this
14 point, I feel that I would be ready for oral argument if oral
15 argument is necessary. I'm not sure oral argument will be
16 necessary. If I intend to listen to oral argument, I will let
17 the parties know. I doubt oral argument will be necessary.

18 Anything you need to add to that, Mr. Patton?

19 MR. PATTON: No, your Honor.

20 I do have one other separate issue.

21 THE COURT: Okay. Certainly. What's that?

22 MR. PATTON: I understand -- and I don't know all of
23 the details -- but I understand that Mr. Ceglia's civil
24 attorney, Paul Argentieri, has been subpoenaed by the
25 government. And one of the requests is that he turn over --

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 the contract at issue indicates that both the civil and
2 criminal case, the contract, the government is alleging, is
3 fraudulent and is the subject of the civil suit.

4 My understanding -- and, again, I don't know all of
5 the details personally -- but my understanding as of this
6 moment is that that's been the subject -- the custody of that
7 contract has been the subject of some -- I don't know whether
8 there has been debate, but discussion in the civil suit about
9 how it should be maintained.

10 And so I would just request that to the extent that
11 the government is seeking that contract, that we be allowed to
12 interpose any objections in terms of it being produced to the
13 government. Perhaps a middle ground could be that it would be
14 produced to the Court and held in the court filing with your
15 Honor. But I don't want it to impact whatever arrangements
16 have been made in the civil suit for it to essentially be out
17 of the parties' possession.

18 THE COURT: Government have any position on that at
19 this point?

20 MR. FREY: May I have a moment, your Honor?

21 THE COURT: Sure.

22 (Pause)

23 MR. FREY: Thank you, your Honor.

24 Obviously the government is not a party to the civil
25 lawsuit. However, the government's understanding is that after

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 the parties in the civil lawsuit had an opportunity to inspect
2 the contract, it was returned to whatever format the parties
3 had agreed it should be returned to, and was given to
4 Mr. Argentieri, who was one of the attorneys for Mr. Ceglia, to
5 return to a safe-deposit box for safekeeping.

6 The government's investigation has revealed that the
7 contract is not currently in that safe-deposit box. And so the
8 government did serve a grand jury subpoena upon Mr. Argentieri
9 for production of the contract. That return date has not yet
10 passed; however, the government assumes that Mr. Argentieri
11 will comply with the subpoena and will produce the contract to
12 the government.

13 The government sees no reason for anything to be done
14 with the contract, other than what would normally be done in
15 the normal course with the production of documents in response
16 to a grand jury subpoena. Once the government has it and
17 maintains custody of it, we will certainly make it available to
18 defense counsel for inspection. But, other than that, I'm not
19 quite sure what Mr. Patton has in mind in terms of special
20 arrangements or considerations being taken.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Patton.

22 MR. PATTON: Unfortunately, from my perspective, this
23 is a fairly complicated scenario, because the government has
24 come in with criminal charges while there's still a motion
25 pending in the civil suit about the nature of this contract.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 That is, there is at this moment a motion to dismiss, which is
2 essentially a summary judgment motion, because they've had
3 deposition and reports from experts about the nature of this
4 contract. And the judge has yet to rule on the authenticity or
5 not of the contract and whether or not the civil suit can move
6 forward.

7 My concern is only that should they need the contract
8 for any of those proceedings in the civil suit, that we have
9 access to it, and that it be available, and that we be sure to
10 comply with whatever requirements were laid down in the civil
11 suit about how it was maintained.

12 I'm not aware of the specifics of where it's being
13 held or how it was supposed to be held. But I just would like
14 to make sure that it doesn't interfere with whatever
15 proceedings are going on on the civil side; and that should the
16 parties need access to it, that they have access to it.

17 THE COURT: Let me just make sure I'm understanding
18 what your concern is.

19 Your concern is not that the government might share
20 the contract with opposing counsel on the civil matter; your
21 concern is more that if the government gets the contract, the
22 contract may not be available to Mr. Ceglia to use in the civil
23 matter; is that really what the concern is?

24 MR. PATTON: Well, I suppose both are concerns. I
25 mean the fact is I think that the government has been working

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 with attorneys for Facebook and sharing information. So to the
2 extent that there would be sharing of information that would
3 somehow be unfair to Mr. Ceglia in the civil suit, I suppose
4 that would be a concern. The latter was what I was primarily
5 thinking about, that it just be maintained in a way that is
6 accessible to both parties should they, under whatever
7 arrangements have been made in the civil suit.

8 THE COURT: And, again, I'm not aware of all the
9 different permutations of what's going on in terms of the
10 allegations in the civil suit.

11 Is your understanding that a copy of the contract, of
12 this contract that's at issue, would not suffice; that there's
13 this one original contract that is really what's at dispute,
14 and a copy will not suffice; is that essentially it?

15 MR. PATTON: The allegation by the defendants in the
16 civil suit and by the government here is that there was, in
17 fact, a contract between Mr. Ceglia and Mark Zuckerberg, but
18 that it has been doctored.

19 And so, yes, the expert depositions and reports and
20 everything that have gone on have been highly technical about
21 the ink, the paper, about the specifics of the document itself.

22 THE COURT: One possible suggestion that might
23 alleviate everyone's concerns based on what the government has
24 indicated and what Mr. Patton has indicated is that it might be
25 possible, since the government is going to be requesting a

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 protective order for certain documents in this case and certain
2 electronic discovery, it may make sense for the parties to --
3 I'm not going to try to force the parties to do this, but it
4 may make sense for the parties to come up with some sort of
5 protective order for this contract in which the parties make
6 plain their intention that, for example, the government is not
7 going to share this contract with third parties or other
8 individuals involved in the lawsuit; and that the defendants
9 would do the same; and that the government and defense would
10 have equal access to this contract, however that would be
11 worked out. And then the parties can work out amongst
12 themselves how the contract is returned to Mr. Ceglia's lawyer
13 in the civil suit.

14 That's just a suggestion.

15 It may make sense for the parties to have some
16 negotiations amongst themselves, and to come to some agreement.
17 And, if not, you can come back to me.

18 But, at first blush, how does that suggestion sound to
19 the government?

20 MR. FREY: Your Honor, I think that's fine. I think
21 the parties can talk amongst themselves and see if we can
22 resolve the issue.

23 I would just note at the outset, however, that it is
24 not the case that currently the contract is available to both
25 parties in the civil suit. It was made available on a limited

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 basis for inspection; it was then returned to counsel for
2 Mr. Ceglia.

3 I think if and when the parties in the civil suit need
4 access again to the contract, that is something that we
5 certainly could deal with. I don't know that this issue
6 necessarily is ripe at this time, but we are happy to speak
7 with defense counsel to see if we can negotiate some sort of
8 resolution at the outset.

9 I'd also just like to take a moment, your Honor, if I
10 may, to respond to something which Mr. Patton said, which is
11 that the government has been sharing information with the
12 attorneys for Facebook.

13 This has been a secret grand jury investigation. The
14 government has shared only what is publicly available at this
15 time with counsel for Facebook, nothing else.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

17 But, I guess, again, especially with what the
18 government just indicated, that the height of Mr. Patton's
19 concern is if there is obviously litigation going on, revolving
20 around this contract. And obviously there have been some
21 rulings by the judge in that case regarding the safekeeping of
22 that contract. And I think it's clear that we know what
23 Mr. Patton is concerned about. If both sides of the civil
24 matter do not have equal access to that contract, and if that
25 lack of equal access is due to a ruling by the judge in the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN Arraignment

1 civil case, it would seem to frustrate the purposes of the
2 civil action and that judge's ruling. And I don't want to step
3 on that judge's ruling in the civil case by making it easier
4 for the defendants in the civil case to gain access to this
5 contract that they otherwise wouldn't have access to as a
6 result of this criminal prosecution.

7 So, again, I urge the parties to see if they can come
8 to some sort of agreement. And if the parties can't come to
9 some sort of agreement, then obviously write me. And perhaps
10 what we ought to do -- and in an abundance of caution -- just
11 go ahead and set a date for the parties to submit a joint
12 status report regarding this issue.

13 When is the return date of that subpoena? Counsel
14 knows?

15 MR. FREY: December 3rd, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Let's have the parties try to reach an
17 agreement. If not, let's have the parties file a joint status
18 report by Friday, just indicating that the parties have come to
19 an agreement or the parties have not come to an agreement so
20 that we can deal with this issue.

21 MR. FREY: Just to be clear, your Honor, this Friday
22 or next Friday?

23 THE COURT: Well, this Friday, since December 3rd --
24 you indicated the return date is December 3rd, which I believe
25 is Monday; correct?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN

Arraignment

1 MR. FREY: Correct, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: So this Friday.

3 MR. FREY: Thank you, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Because I think next Friday will be too
5 late.

6 MR. FREY: Thank you.

7 THE COURT: And, again, that's not a court appearance.

8 Mr. Ceglia would not need to appear in court; the attorneys
9 would not need to appear in court. Just file a joint status
10 report just letting the Court know if, in fact, the parties
11 have come to some resolution; and, if not, what the issues
12 still are.

13 Anything else, Mr. Patton?

14 MR. PATTON: Your Honor, I just want to clarify in
15 case I misspoke.

16 I was not suggesting that the government was engaging
17 in anything untoward. But I do think there's been -- I don't
18 think it's disputed that there's been information sharing
19 between the government and Facebook's lawyers on the civil
20 side. There are obvious examples of that.

21 So I wasn't suggesting that there were breaches of
22 grand jury secrecy. I just want to be clear I'm not making any
23 allegations along those lines.

24 THE COURT: That's fine.

25 Anything else from the government today?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

CBSVCEGN

Arraignment

1 MR. FREY: Nothing from the government.
2 Thank you.
3 THE COURT: Anything else from the defense today?
4 MR. PATTON: No, your Honor.
5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

* * *

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

