

EXHIBIT G

From: Jeffrey A. Lake, Esq. <jlake@lakeapc.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2011 5:04 PM
To: Southwell, Alexander
Subject: Hard document testing protocol

Alex,

As we discussed this morning, it will be essential that an examination of the original documents by all of the handwriting experts be conducted prior to beginning of the destructive testing of the hard copy documents scheduled to commence on Saturday, July 16, 2011. To that end, we respectfully request that our handwriting expert, James Blanco be permitted an opportunity to conduct his nondestructive handwriting examination upon the conclusion of your handwriting experts examination on Friday, July 15, 2011.

In order to give an accurate expert opinion, it is important that each of these examiners be able to compare the wet signatures and initials on the hard copy documents we are producing this week with wet signatures and initials prepared by Mark Zuckerberg in the 2003-2004 time period. As such, please bring as many wet signatures and initial samples (as set forth in the July 1, 2011 Order) to Harris, Beach on Friday, July 15, 2011 so that both side's handwriting experts can conduct a thorough analysis of the wet signatures on the documents available that day. If you are unable or unwilling to do so, please notify us immediately so that we can resolve this issue prior to the original hard copy documents being permanently altered. Thank you.

Finally, as we discussed this morning, coordination of the experts conducting destructive tests on behalf of the Defendants should be done in conjunction with the experts from our side so as to limit unnecessary destruction of the very limited ink found in certain areas of the document, e.g. initials, signatures, etc. As you know there is a possibility that if not controlled an excessively large ink sample may end up being removed if an examiner chooses to perform ink "age" determination samples. If an excessive amount of ink is taken, the integrity of the documents may be compromised. Therefore, we propose limiting the ink analysis samples in such a way as to not allow either side to cumulatively remove more than 15% of the total samples available on any respective signature, initial or handwritten portion of a document. That way, if both sides remove a total of 30% there will be a remaining 70% of the particular sample left behind. We further propose that before any samples are taken, the experts from both sides who are going to be taking samples (ink, toner, paper, etc.) meet and confer to determine the size, number, locations and methodology of the samples to be taken from each document. If the experts are unable to agree on such a protocol, we respectfully request that we notify Judge Foschio at that time so that he can make a judicial determination to resolve this issue before the original documents are altered in any way.

If you have any questions, suggestions or objections to any of the aforementioned, please contact me as soon as possible so that we may respond appropriately.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Jeff

Jeffrey A. Lake, Esq.
835 5th Ave.
Suite 200A
San Diego, CA 92101
Tel. (619) 795-6460

Fax. (619) 795-6478
jlake@lakeapc.com



WARNING: This e-mail is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510-2521. It contains information from the law firm of Jeffrey A. Lake, A.P.C. which may be privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Dissemination or copying of this e-mail and/or any attachments by anyone other than the addressee or the addressee's agent is strictly prohibited. If this electronic transmission is received in error, please notify Jeffrey A.Lake, A.P.C. immediately at (619) 795-6460. Thank you.