Fraiser v. Astrue Doc. 20

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PAGAN FRAISER,

Plaintiff,

V.

DECISION AND ORDER 12-CV-1179S

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

Plaintiff Pagan Fraiser is a prevailing party in this social security benefits action. Presently before this Court is Plaintiff's counsel's Motion for Attorney Fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406 (b)(1)(A). (Docket No. 14.) Defendant does not oppose the motion. (Docket No. 19.)

Forty-two U.S.C. § 406 (b)(1)(A) provides as follows:

Whenever a court renders a judgment favorable to a claimant under this subchapter who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may determine and allow as part of its judgment a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment, and the Commissioner of Social Security may, notwithstanding the provisions of section 405(i) of this title, but subject to subsection (d) of this section, certify the amount of such fee for payment to such attorney out of, and not in addition to, the amount of such past-due benefits. In case of any such judgment, no other fee may be payable or certified for payment for such representation except as provided in this paragraph.

Plaintiff was awarded \$69,504 in past-due benefits. (Affirmation of William C. Bernhardi ("Bernhardi Aff."), Docket No. 24-2, ¶ 2 and Exhibit 2.) Plaintiff's counsel seeks \$2,080 in fees, consistent with the contingent-fee agreement that provides for

attorney fees not to exceed 25% of any recovery. (Bernhardi Aff., ¶ 2 and Exhibit 3.)

Having thoroughly reviewed counsel's fee request and supporting documentation,

this Court finds that the requested fee is reasonable based on counsel's experience in

social security law, the character of the representation provided, and the favorable results

achieved. See Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789, 808, 1122 S. Ct. 1817, 152 L. Ed.

2d 996 (2002). Moreover, there is no indication that this fee is a windfall. Id. Plaintiff's

counsel's \$2,080 fee request is therefore granted under 42 U.S.C. § 406 (b)(1)(A).

By stipulation approved and ordered on September 12, 2013, this Court previously

awarded Plaintiff's counsel \$986.82 in fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act

("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (d). (Docket Nos. 9, 10.) Because the fee granted above

exceeds the EAJA fee, Plaintiff's counsel must refund the EAJA fee to Plaintiff. See

Wells v. Bowen, 855 F.2d 37, 42 (2d Cir. 1988).

IT HEREBY IS ORDERED, that Plaintiff's counsel's Motion for Attorney Fees in

the amount of \$2,080 under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A) (Docket No. 14) is GRANTED.

FURTHER, that Plaintiff's counsel is directed to refund the \$986.82 EAJA fee to

Plaintiff within 14 days of the entry date of this Decision and Order if he has not already

done so.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 17, 2018

Buffalo, New York

/s/William M. Skretny WILLIAM M. SKRETNY

United States District Judge

2