

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

LAYLA M. VOGT *o/b/o* Allen L. Vogt,

Plaintiff,

v.

18-CV-00231-LJV  
DECISION & ORDER

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
SECURITY,

Defendant.

---

The plaintiff, Layla M. Vogt, is a prevailing party in this social security benefits action. Her counsel has moved for attorney's fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A).

Docket Item 20. The defendant does not oppose the motion. Docket Item 21.

Section 406(b)(1)(A) provides:

Whenever a court renders a judgment favorable to a claimant under this subchapter who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may determine and allow as part of its judgment a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment, and the Commissioner of Social Security may, notwithstanding the provisions of section 405(i) of this title, but subject to subsection (d) of this section, certify the amount of such fee for payment to such attorney out of, and not in addition to, the amount of such past-due benefits. In case of any such judgment, no other fee may be payable or certified for payment for such representation except as provided in this paragraph.

*Id.*

Vogt's counsel seeks \$15,051.75 in fees. Docket Item 20. That amount constitutes 25% of Vogt's past-due benefits, Docket Item 20-4 at 2, and is consistent with the contingent-fee agreement that provides for attorney fees in the amount of 25% of any recovery, see Docket Item 20-2.

Having reviewed counsel's fee request and supporting documentation, this Court finds that the requested fee is reasonable based on counsel's experience in social security law, the character of the representation provided, and the favorable results achieved. See *Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 808 (2002). Moreover, there is no indication that this fee is a windfall.<sup>1</sup> *Id.* The \$15,051.75 fee request is therefore granted under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A).

By stipulation approved and ordered on December 10, 2019, this Court previously awarded Vogt's counsel \$6,224.29 in fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d). Docket Items 18, 19. Because the fees granted above exceed the EAJA fees, Vogt's counsel must refund the EAJA fees to her. See *Wells v. Bowen*, 855 F.2d 37, 42 (2d Cir. 1988).

---

<sup>1</sup> While the fee here constitutes an hourly rate of over \$456—high by Western New York standards—the precedent cited in counsel's fee application and the incentive necessary for counsel to take contingency-fee cases weigh in favor of approving the fee here. See *Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 808 (noting that "a record of the hours spent representing the claimant" can be used by the court "as an aid to [its] assessment of the reasonableness of the fee yielded by the fee agreement").

**ORDER**

In light of the above,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A) in the amount of \$15,051.75, Docket Item 20, is GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED that Vogt's counsel shall refund the \$6,224.29 in EAJA fees to Vogt within 14 days of the entry date of this decision and order.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 28, 2020  
Buffalo, New York

/s/ Hon. Lawrence J. Vilardo  
LAWRENCE J. VILARDO  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE