Blocher v. Rice, et al Doc. 26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DONALD C. BLOCHER,

Plaintiff,

٧.

19-CV-1295-LJV-LGF DECISION & ORDER

DETECTIVE KENNETH RICE, et al.,

Defendants.

On September 20, 2019, the plaintiff, Donald C. Blocher, commenced this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Docket Item 1; on April 23, 2020, Blocher filed an amended complaint, Docket Item 7. On December 23, 2020, Blocher moved for default judgment against two of the three defendants named in the amended complaint: Detective Kenneth Rice and Edward L. Armstrong, as administrator of the Estate of Adult Protective Service worker Glenda Armstrong. Docket Item 13. On December 30, 2020, this Court referred this case to United States Magistrate Judge Leslie G. Foschio for all proceedings under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and (B). Docket Item 17. On January 2, 2021, Rice and Armstrong responded to Blocher's motion for default judgment, Docket Item 18-5, and on January 8, 2021, Blocher replied, Docket Item 19. On September 8, 2021, Judge Foschio issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") finding that the plaintiff's motion should be denied. Docket Item 24.1 The parties did not object to the

¹ Judge Foschio also denied Blocher's alternative request for entry of a default and granted Rice and Armstrong's cross-motion for an enlargement of time to answer the amended complaint. *See* Docket Item 24 at 6-12. The parties did not ask this Court to review either of those orders.

R&R, and the time to do so now has expired. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ.

P. 72(b)(2).

A district court may accept, reject, or modify the findings or recommendations of

a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The court must

review de novo those portions of a magistrate judge's recommendation to which a party

objects. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). But neither 28 U.S.C. § 636

nor Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72 requires a district court to review the

recommendation of a magistrate judge to which no objections are raised. See Thomas

v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149-50 (1985).

Although not required to do so in light of the above, this Court nevertheless has

reviewed Judge Foschio's R&R as well as the parties' submissions to him. Based on

that review and the absence of any objections, the Court accepts and adopts

Judge Foschio's recommendation to deny the plaintiff's motion for default judgment.

For the reasons stated above and in the R&R, the plaintiff's motion for default

judgment, Docket Item 13, is DENIED. The case is referred back to Judge Foschio for

further proceedings consistent with the referral order of December 30, 2020, Docket

Item 17.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

September 29, 2021

Buffalo, New York

/s/ Lawrence J. Vilardo

LAWRENCE J. VILARDO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2