IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION

NO. 5:08-CV-456-FL(3)

ANNE F. EDWARDS,)
Plaintiff,)
VS.) <u>MEMORANDUM AND</u>) <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>
ASTORIA FEDERAL SAVINGS,)
Defendant.)

Plaintiff has filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. She has demonstrated appropriate evidence of inability to pay the required court costs. However, the Court must also conduct a review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$\$ 1915(e)(2) which requires the Court to dismiss all or any part of an action found to be frivolous or malicious, which fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or which seeks money damages from a defendant immune from such recovery. *See <u>Cochran</u> v. Morris*, 73 F.3d 1310, 1315-16 (4th Cir. 1996)(discussing *sua sponte* dismissal under predecessor statute 28 U.S.C. \$ 1915(d)). A case is frivolous if it lacks an arguable basis in either law or fact. *See <u>Neitzke v. Williams</u>*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989).

In the instant matter, Plaintiff makes absolutely no factual allegations to support the claims in her Complaint [DE 1-2, pg. 2]. Because of this failure, Plaintiff's Complaint lacks any arguable basis in fact. Accordingly, the undersigned RECOMMENDS that Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* be GRANTED, but that her Complaint be dismissed for failure to state a claim.

SO RECOMMENDED in Chambers at Raleigh, North Carolina this 19th day of September, 2008.

With a. Wh

William A. Webb U.S. Magistrate Judge