


Moreover, a pre-filing injunction has been entered against Mr. Davis, *see Davis v. Mitchell*, 5:12-CV-493-F (E.D.N.C. March 3, 2014), and it would appear that Mr. Davis is attempting to use reopening of these cases and amending the complaints as a method by which to avoid the pre-filing injunction.¹ The Court will not sanction such action.

Accordingly, the motions to reopen case and to amend the complaints in each of the above-captioned cases are DENIED. The Court will consider critically any future filings by Mr. Davis in which it is apparent that he is merely seeking to avoid the pre-filing injunction.

SO ORDERED, this 30 day of June, 2016.


TERRENCE W. BOYLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ A pre-filing injunction has also been issued against Mr. Davis in the Eastern District of Virginia. *Davis v. Jawaorski*, No. 4:13-CV-63 (E.D.Va. November 14, 2013).