IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:12-CV-568-D

JOSE HERRERA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) ORDER
)
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,)
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,)
)
Defendant.)

On August 12, 2013, Magistrate Judge Webb issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [D.E. 25]. In the M&R, Judge Webb recommended that the court deny plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 20], grant defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 22], and affirm defendant's final decision. Neither party filed objections to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge's] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (alteration in original) (emphasis and quotation omitted). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Id.</u> (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and the briefs. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 20] is DENIED, defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 22] is GRANTED, defendant's final decision is AFFIRMED, and this action is DISMISSED. The clerk shall close the case. SO ORDERED. This <u>30</u> day of August 2013.

Je

JAMES C. DEVER III Chief United States District Judge