



(4th Cir. 2013) (per curiam) (unpublished); Kosnoski v. Howley, 33 F.3d 376, 379 (4th Cir. 1994).

The motion lacks merit and is denied.

Rule 60(b)(4) authorizes the court to “relieve a party or its legal representative from a final judgment” when “the judgment is void.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(4). According to Laschkewitsch, the judgment entered against him is void because both the arbitrator and this court lacked subject-matter jurisdiction. Laschkewitsch repeatedly has pressed this argument in this case, and the court repeatedly has rejected it. The argument has not improved with age. The court has reviewed Laschkewitsch’s motion under the governing legal standard. See Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. AMH Roman Two NC, LLC, 859 F.3d 295, 302 n.3 (4th Cir. 2017); Wendt v. Leonard, 431 F.3d 410, 412–13 (4th Cir. 2005); Cromer v. Kraft Foods N. Am., Inc., 390 F.3d 812, 817 (4th Cir. 2004); Schwartz v. United States, 976 F.2d 213, 217 (4th Cir. 1992). The motion lacks merit and is denied.

In sum, the court DENIES Laschkewitsch’s motion for relief from judgment [D.E. 53]. The court GRANTS Laschkewitsch’s motion to seal [D.E. 56].

SO ORDERED. This 11 day of October 2017.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JAMES C. DEVER III  
Chief United States District Judge