


reasoning in an order.” *See Wiseman v. First Citizens Bank & Tr. Co.*, 215 F.R.D. 507, 509 (W.D.N.C. 2003) (quoting *Potter v. Potter*, 199 F.R.D. 550, 553 (D. Md. 2001)).

Here, each of the pending motions in this case support Mr. Howell’s request for reconsideration of the court’s Order of November 24, 2015. That Order dismissed Mr. Howell’s case for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, finding that the complaint was essentially a request for judicial review of a VA decision. *See* Nov. 24, 2015 Order [DE-59]. Mr. Howell argues that the court misconstrued the substance of his complaint. *See, e.g.*, Mem. in Support [DE-63] at 1. The court has reviewed Mr. Howell’s complaint and is satisfied that it properly characterized the claim.

Having reviewed the case and considered each of Mr. Howell’s filings, the court concludes that there was no manifest error of law or fact in the November 24, 2015 Order, nor does Mr. Howell present newly discovered evidence to justify reconsideration of that decision. Accordingly, each of the pending motions in this case—docket entries 61, 70, 71, 74, 76, and 79—are DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

This, the 29 day of February, 2016.



JAMES C. FOX
Senior United States District Judge