UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA SOUTHERN DIVISION

BOBBY JO ROSINBAUM and ROBERT WILLIAM MORGAN, JR., individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals.

Plaintiffs.

Civ. A. No. 7:16-cv-00233-FL

FLOWERS FOODS, INC., and FRANKLIN BAKING CO., LLC,

v.

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION TO SEAL AN EXHIBIT

THIS MATTER IS BEFORE THE COURT on Defendants Flowers Foods, Inc., and Franklin Baking Co., LLC's Unopposed Motion to Seal An Exhibit. Defendants have asked this Court to seal an exhibit provisionally filed under seal by Defendants in connection with their Motion for Decertification. Plaintiffs have represented they do not oppose the motion.

The Court notes at the outset that, because Defendants have not submitted this exhibit in connection with a dispositive motion, the First Amendment right of public access does not apply. *See In re Policy Mgmt. Sys. Corp.*, 67 F.3d 296 (4th Cir. Sept. 13, 1995) (table). As such, this exhibit "[is] subject to a common law right of access by the public." *Boykin Anchor Co. v. Wong*, Civ. No. No. 5:10-cv-00591-FL, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 63345, at *2 (E.D.N.C. May 3, 2013) (citing *Stone v. Univ. of Md. Med. Sys. Corp.*, 855 F.2d 178 (4th Cir. 1988)).

The common-law right of access to court documents may be overcome when "countervailing interests heavily outweigh the public interest in access." *Boykin Anchor*, at *2 (quoting *Va. Dep't of State Police v. The Wash. Post*, 386 F.3d 567, 575 (4th Cir. 2004)). One such

countervailing interest sufficient to overcome the common-law right of access is a party's right to protect confidential business information. *360 Mortg. Grp., LLC v. Stonegate Mortg. Corp.*, No. 5:14-CV-00310-F, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 124469, at *3 (E.D.N.C. Sept. 14, 2016) ("[T]he need to keep proprietary business information confidential is often a sufficiently compelling justification for sealing judicial documents."); *see also*, *e.g.*, *Morris v. Cumberland Cnty. Hosp. Sys., Inc.*, No. 5:12-CV-629-F, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 165063, at *8 (E.D.N.C. Nov. 13, 2013) (observing that "the need to keep confidential proprietary business information or trade secrets may constitute a 'higher value' that can overcome both the common law and the First Amendment rights of access in appropriate circumstances"); *Minogue v. Modell*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33767, *12 (D.Md. 2011) ("Tax returns frequently are protected from public disclosure.")).

In applying the less-stringent standard under the common-law right of access, the Court has carefully considered the prerequisites for a motion to seal as set forth in *In re Knight Publishing Company*, 743 F.2d 231 (4th Cir. 1984), and *Stone v. University of Maryland Medical System Corp.*, 855 F.2d 178, 179–80 (4th Cir. 1988), and concludes that the Defendants have satisfied the necessary requirements. The public has been provided notice of the request to seal and interested parties were allowed a reasonable opportunity to object. Defendants publicly filed their Proposed Sealed Exhibit on the docket, and Defendants' motion to seal and memorandum in support were also publicly filed.

Upon review of the Proposed Sealed Exhibit and Defendants' motion to seal, the Court concludes that the exhibit contains confidential personal information of opt-in Plaintiff Timothy Ressegue, which is subject to the Stipulated Protective Order in this matter. The interest in protecting this confidential information is sufficient to outweigh the public right to access. The

Court therefore concludes that this exhibit should be filed under seal, and finds that no less drastic alternatives exist.

For the foregoing reasons, Defendants' Unopposed Motion to Seal an Exhibit is GRANTED, and the Court concludes that the following exhibit should be maintained under seal: Exhibit 4, attached to Exhibit A12 of the Declaration of Margaret Hanrahan in Support of Defendants' Motion for Decertification.

The clerk of court is directed to maintain as sealed the following Docket Entries: (Doc. 307.)

SO ORDERED, this 25th day of February, 2019.

Louise W. Flanagan

United States District Judge

Howir W. Lloragan