# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

RYAN McFADYEN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-953

DUKE UNIVERSITY, et al.,

Defendants.

REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S JUNE 4, 2009, ORDER, AND ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO ENLARGE THE NUMBER OF PAGES OR BRIEFS PLAINTIFFS MAY FILE IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFS REGARDING ASHCROFT V. IQBAL

The matter before the Court is the Court's June 4, 2009, Order authorizing Plaintiffs to file Responses to Defendants' Supplemental Briefs regarding *Ashcroft v*. *Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937 (May 18, 2009) (Docket No. 119). After consulting with opposing counsel, Plaintiffs seek clarification of the Order as it applies to the number of pages and briefs that Plaintiffs are authorized to file in response to Defendants' Supplemental Briefs. Further, to the extent that the Order authorizes Plaintiffs to file one, 20-page Response to all Briefs filed by the Defendants, Plaintiffs move for an Order enlarging the number of either pages or briefs that Plaintiffs may file in response to Defendants' Supplemental Briefs.

In support of this Motion, the Plaintiffs state as follows:

- 1. On June 4, 2009, the Court entered an Order (Docket No. 119) ("Order") authorizing the Defendant groups in this action to file a Supplemental Brief, not to exceed 20 pages, addressing the impact of the Supreme Court's decision in *Iqbal* on the pending Motions to Dismiss. Order, *McFadyen v. Duke Univ.*, 1:08-cv-953 (M.D.N.C. 2007) (Docket No. 119).
- 2. The Order authorizes Plaintiffs to file a Response Brief, within 20 days after such Supplemental Briefs are filed, not to exceed 20 pages, responding to any issues raised in the Supplemental Briefs. *Id*.
- 3. On June 24, 2009, Defendants filed four separate Supplemental Briefs (two of them merely adopt the arguments contained in other Supplemental Briefs). In all, the four substantive briefs filed by Defendants total 65 pages. (Docket Nos. 120-125).
- 4. Plaintiffs request clarification regarding whether the Court's Order permits Plaintiffs to file four Responses (each limited to 20 pages), or only one 20-page Response.
- 5. To the extent that the Order authorizes only one 20-page Response to address the issues raised in the four Briefs filed by the Defendants, Plaintiffs respectfully request a modification of the Order permitting Plaintiffs to file either four Responses limited to 20 pages each, or, in the alternative, because there is some overlap in the issues raised in Defendants' briefs, Plaintiffs request authorization to file one consolidated Response to all of Defendants' Briefs, not to exceed 60 pages.
- 6. Counsel for Plaintiffs has consulted with opposing counsel on this matter and have received the following responses: The City of Durham Defendants, including the City of Durham, City's Supervisory Defendants, and other Durham Police

Department and Spokesperson Defendants do not oppose the Motion. The Duke University Defendants, the Duke SANE Defendants, the Duke Police Defendants, Defendant DNA Security, Inc., Defendant Clark, and Defendant Linwood Wilson all take no position on the Motion. Defendant Meehan does not consent to the Motion.

7. A proposed order granting the relief sought is attached as Exhibit A.

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court (1) clarify its June 4, 2009, Order with respect to the number of pages and briefs Plaintiffs are authorized to file in response to Defendants' Supplemental Briefs, and (2) if the Order in fact authorizes Plaintiffs to file only one 20-page response, Plaintiffs request a modification of the Order authorizing Plaintiffs to file either one consolidated response to all Defendants' briefs, not to exceed 60-pages, or, in the alternative, four 20-page briefs in response to the four substantive Supplemental Briefs filed by Defendants.

Dated: June 29, 2009 Respectfully submitted,

### EKSTRAND & EKSTRAND LLP

/s/ Robert C. Ekstrand

Robert C. Ekstrand, Esq. (NC Bar #26673) Stefanie A. Sparks\*

811 Ninth Street, Suite 260

Durham, North Carolina 27705

Email: rce@ninthstreetlaw.com Email: sas@ninthstreetlaw.com

Phone: (919) 416-4590

Counsel for Plaintiffs Ryan McFadyen, Matthew Wilson, and Breck Archer

<sup>\*</sup> N.C. State Bar Certified Legal Intern under the Supervision of Robert C. Ekstrand.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

RYAN McFADYEN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-953

DUKE UNIVERSITY, et al.,

Defendants.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, on June 29, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing **Request** for Clarification of the Court's June 4, 2009, Order, and Alternative Motion to Enlarge the Number of Pages or Briefs Plaintiffs May File in Response to Defendants' Supplemental Briefs Regarding *Ashcroft V. Iqbal* with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

James Donald Cowan, Jr.
Ellis & Winters, LLP
100 North Greene Street, Suite 102
Greensboro, NC 27401
Counsel for the University Defendants

Dixie Wells
Ellis & Winters, LLP
100 North Greene Street, Suite 102
Greensboro, NC 27401
Counsel for the University Defendants

Jamie S. Gorelick
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Counsel for the University Defendants

Jennifer M. O'Connor Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20006 Counsel for the University Defendants

Paul R.Q. Wolfson Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 Counsel for the University Defendants

William F. Lee
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, LLP
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
Counsel for the University Defendants

Dan J. McLamb Yates, McLamb & Weyher, LLP One Bank of America Plaza, Ste 1200 421 Fayetteville Street Raleigh, NC 27601 Counsel for the Sane Defendants

Reginald B. Gillespie, Jr. Faison & Gillespie P.O. Box 51729 Durham, NC 27717 Counsel for City of Durham, North Carolina Patricia P. Kerner Troutman Saunders, LLP 434 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1900 Raleigh, NC 27601

Counsel for Steven Chalmers, Patrick Baker, Beverly Council, Ronald Hodge, Jeff Lamb, Stephen Mihaich, Michael Ripberger, Laird Evans, and Lee Russ

D. Martin Warf Troutman Sanders LLP P.O. Drawer 1389 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Counsel for Steven Chalmers, Patrick Baker, Beverly Council, Ronald Hodge, Jeff Lamb, Stephen Mihaich, Michael Ripberger, Laird Evans, and Lee Russ

James B. Maxwell Maxwell, Freeman & Bowman P.O. Box 52396 Durham, NC 27717-2396

Counsel for David Addison, Kammie Michael, Richard D. Clayton and James T. Soukup

Joel M. Craig Kennon, Craver, Belo, Craig & McKee 4011 University Drive, Suite 300 Durham, NC 27707 Counsel for Benjamin W. Himan

David W. Long Poyner & Spruill, LLP P.O. Box 10096 Raleigh, NC 27605-0096 Counsel for Mark Gottlieb

Eric P. Stevens Poyner & Spruill, LLP P.O. Box 10096 Raleigh, NC 27605-0096 Counsel for Mark Gottlieb Kearns Davis
Brooks, Pierce, McLendon, Humphrey & Leonard, L.L.P.
P.O. Box 26000
Greensboro, NC 27420
Counsel for DNA Security, Inc. and Richard Clark

Robert J. King, III Brooks, Pierce, McLendon, Humphrey & Leonard, L.L.P. P.O. Box 26000 Greensboro, NC 27420 Counsel for DNA Security, Inc. and Richard Clark

## Linwood Wilson

#### \*\* Home Address Redacted Pursuant to Local Rule and ECF P&P Manual.

Paul R. Dickinson, Jr. Lewis & Roberts, PLLC 5960 Fairview Road, Suite 102 Charlotte, NC 28210 Counsel for Brian Meehan

James A. Roberts, III Lewis & Roberts, PLLC 1305 Navaho Drive, Suite 400 Raleigh, NC 27609-7482 Counsel for Brian Meehan

Roger E. Warin Steptoe & Johnson, LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20003 Counsel for City of Durham, North Carolina

Robert A. Sar Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C. 2301 Sugar Bush Road, Suite 600 Raleigh, NC 27612 Counsel for DNA Security, Inc. Nicholas J. Sanservino, Jr.
Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C.
2301 Sugar Bush Road, Suite 600
Raleigh, NC 27612
Counsel for DNA Security, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

## **EKSTRAND & EKSTRAND LLP**

/s/ Robert C. Ekstrand

Robert C. Ekstrand, Esq.(NC Bar #26673)

Counsel for Plaintiffs Ryan McFadyen, Matthew Wilson, and Breck Archer