

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:07-CV-00953**

_____)
RYAN MCFADYEN, <i>et al.</i>,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
DUKE UNIVERSITY, <i>et al.</i>,)
)
Defendants.)
_____)

**ORDER DENYING
MOTION TO AMEND**

Upon consideration of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to Amend the Pleadings (Doc. No. 130) and papers filed in support of and in opposition to said Motion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that such Motion be, and it hereby is, **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED, this ____ day of _____, 2009.

JAMES A. BEATY, JR.
Chief Judge
U.S. District Court for the
Middle District of North Carolina

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:07-CV-00953**

RYAN MCFADYEN, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	ORDER ALLOWING
)	MOTION TO AMEND
v.)	
)	
DUKE UNIVERSITY, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Upon consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Amend the Pleadings (Doc. No. 130) and papers filed in support of and in opposition to said Motion, it is hereby

ORDERED:

1. That such Motion be, and it hereby is, **GRANTED**.
2. That the City of Durham's request to file a further motion to dismiss, together with a supporting brief that is limited to 20 pages, is **GRANTED**, such motion and brief to be filed and served within ____ days after entry of this order.

SO ORDERED, this ____ day of _____, 2009.

JAMES A. BEATY, JR.
Chief Judge
U.S. District Court for the
Middle District of North Carolina