EXHIBIT A

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
2	No. 1:07-CV-00953
3	
4	RYAN MCFADYEN, et al.,)
5)
6	Plaintiffs,))
7	vs.)
8	DUKE UNIVERSITY, et al.,)
9	Defendants.)
10	′
11	
12	
13	
14	*** ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY ***
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF BRECK BERNARD ARCHER
17	(Taken by Defendants)
18	Durham, North Carolina
19	Thursday, April 19th, 2012
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported in Stenotype by Sophie Brock, RPR, CRR
25	Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription

1	A. Uhm, just from word of mouth, theories that
2	it was released through a Duke police officer to it
3	was Sergeant Smith to, like, an IT an IT guy in
4	the DukeCard office. Basically, it came through the
5	ID guy I think it was Mr. Gettlief and it was
6	taken from Mr. Gettlief to Sergeant Smith, and from
7	there it went to the Durham Police Department.
8	Q. As of June 2nd, 2006, do you have any reason
9	to believe that Matthew Drummond knew that Sergeant
10	Smith had delivered that DukeCard information to
11	Durham?
12	A. Uhm, I'm not sure. I mean, I believe it
13	was I believe information was already released, but
14	I'm not I really
15	Q. Do you know someone named Kate Hendricks?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay, who is Kate Hendricks?
18	A. She was a legal like, a legal counsel for
19	the university.
20	Q. Okay.
21	MR. SEGARS: We're going to mark the
22	next document as Exhibit 36.
23	(EXHIBIT NUMBER 36 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)
24	THE WITNESS: Thanks.
25	

1	I think it should be reopened for.
2	MS. SMITH: You recognize that
3	depositions are supposed to be a total of seven hours?
4	MR. SEGARS: I understand that.
5	MS. SMITH: Okay.
6	We're just going to take two minutes to see
7	if we have anything.
8	MR. SEGARS: Okay.
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record.
10	The time on the monitor is 7:30.
11	The tape has stopped.
12	(RECESS TAKEN FROM 7:30 P.M. TO 7:39 P.M.)
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on record.
14	The time on the monitor is 7:39. Please begin.
15	MS. SMITH: Mr. Archer, just a couple of
16	questions.
17	EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. SMITH:
19	Q. Were you convicted of trespassing?
20	A. No.
21	Q. What is the disposition of that charge?
22	A. It's dismissed.
23	Q. And also, if you could turn to
24	Exhibit No. 35.
25	A. I'm sorry. There we go. Got it.

1	Q. In the examination, you were asked questions
2	about this document?
3	A. Yes, ma'am.
4	Q. At the time of the motion to quash the
5	subpoena, did you have knowledge that Matthew Drummond
6	knew that the DukeCards had already been given to the
7	Durham police?
8	A. No.
9	Q. What do you know about that since
10	A. I know that I know that at the time that
11	he wrote this letter, he'd already known that his
12	assistant had given that information to the police.
13	Q. And what's your source of that information?
14	A. Just basic uh, just rumors, talking;
15	I mean, just heard it.
16	Q. Are you aware that Mr. Gettlief has been
17	deposed?
18	A. Yes. It's I'm sorry well, I mean,
19	rum obviously rumors from the deposition. The
20	deposition was the source, but
21	MS. SMITH: No more further questions
22	from us at this time.
23	MR. SEGARS: Some short redirect.
24	EXAMINATION
25	

1	Q. Did you listen to any part of an audio file
2	of Mr. Gettlief's deposition?
3	A. I don't think so.
4	Q. Do you know anything about the content of the
5	voicemail that you described in your testimony today?
6	MS. SMITH: Objection, asked and
7	answered.
8	THE WITNESS: It's the only thing
9	I know, what I said before.
10	BY MR. SEGARS:
11	Q. Other than what you know from the testimony
12	of Mr. Gettlief, do you know of any other facts that
13	support your contention that as of June 2nd, 2006,
14	Mr. Drummond knew that Sergeant Smith had turned over
15	DukeCard information to Durham?
16	MS. SMITH: Objection.
17	To the extent that it would reveal legal
18	theories of counsel, I'd instruct you not to answer.
19	THE WITNESS: It's the I mean,
20	there's theories within counsel, but
21	BY MR. SEGARS:
22	Q. Okay, and I'd like to know what they are.
23	MS. SMITH: Objection. You can't ask
24	about theories of counsel.
25	MR. SEGARS: You've opened the door by

1 asking the question, without qualification, on 2 cross-examination, and it's my contention that that 3 has waived any privilege --4 MS. SMITH: And it's my contention that 5 the privilege has not been waived. 6 MR. SEGARS: Very well. 7 I -- I believe that what occurred just now 8 was a waiver of the privilege, at least with respect 9 to his basis of knowledge about Matt Drummond knowing of the DukeCard information being given to Durham as 10 11 of June 2nd, 2006, because he was asked that 12 unqualified question, a question to which we routinely 13 receive attorney-client privilege instructions when we 14 ask that question. And based on that contention, it's 15 my position that he needs to answer that question, and 16 as an additional reason for leaving this deposition 17 held open, I would mark that. 18 And subject to that, I have no further 19 questions. 20 MS. SMITH: And I would assert that we 21 are making privilege objections based on work product 2.2 and attorney-client communications. If he has facts 23 that are not legal theories or interpretation of 24 facts, he can very well answer the question. And we 25 do not think that any privilege was waived.