

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA**

**RYAN MCFADYEN, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**DUKE UNIVERSITY, et al.,  
Defendants,**

**1:07cv953**

**DEFENDANT WILSON'S MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFFS'  
RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT WILSON'S MOTION TO SHOW CAUSE  
(DOC. 367)**

NOW COMES Defendant Linwood E. Wilson, pro se, in Motion To Strike Plaintiffs' Response to Defendant Wilson's Motion To Show Cause (Doc. 382) Plaintiffs' have had plenty of time to respond. After making a motion for extension of time, with an Order attached, they still filed Document 382 without an order from the Court granting them an extension. Their Motion is out of court, untimely filed, and assumed that the court would allow it without ruling. Now if Mr. Ekstrand wants to talk about me violating local rules, so what is doing?

**CONCLUSION**

Defendant Wilson asks that the Plaintiffs' Response Document 382 be stricken and their response to Defendant Wilson's Motion is untimely and cannot be filed.

This the 22<sup>nd</sup> Day of April, 2014

Respectfully,  
/s/ Linwood E. Wilson  
Linwood E. Wilson

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING AND SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, pursuant to Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and LR5.3 and LR5.4, MDNC, the foregoing pleading, motion, affidavit, notice, or other document/paper has been electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which system will automatically generate and send a Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) to the undersigned filing user and registered users of record, and that the Court's electronic records show that each party to this action is represented by at least one registered user of record (or that the party is a registered user of record), to each of whom the NEF will be transmitted.

This the 22<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2014.

By: /s/ Linwood E. Wilson  
Linwood E. Wilson  
*Pro Se*