

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

RYAN MCFADYEN, MATTHEW WILSON,)
and BRECK ARCHER)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

DUKE UNIVERSITY, et al.,)

Defendants.)

1:07CV953

ORDER

BEATY, District Judge.


This matter is before the Court on a Motion to Expedite Briefing on the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Motion to Dismiss Uncontested Renewed Motion to Dismiss (“Motion to Expedite Briefing”) [Doc. #392] filed by Defendant Linwood Wilson (“Defendant Wilson”). Specifically, this Motion seeks to expedite the briefing schedule for Defendant Wilson’s Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Motion to Dismiss Uncontested Renewed Motion to Dismiss [Doc. #389]. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.3(f), the Court finds that good cause appears¹ to grant Defendant Wilson’s Motion to Expedite Briefing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Motion to Expedite Briefing [Doc. #392] is GRANTED. Plaintiffs have up to and including May 6, 2014 to respond to Defendant Wilson’s

¹ The Court is in the process of resolving the fully briefed dispositive Motions [Docs. #324, #330, #335, #346] currently before the Court in order to render a decision on those Motions prior to the scheduled May 16, 2014 Status Conference Hearing in this case. As Defendant Wilson’s Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Motion to Dismiss Uncontested Renewed Motion to Dismiss [Doc. #389] is related to the Motions that the Court is currently considering, the Court finds that there is good cause to expedite the briefing schedule in the matter of Defendant Wilson’s Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Motion to Dismiss Uncontested Renewed Motion to Dismiss [Doc. #389].

Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Motion to Dismiss Uncontested Renewed Motion to Dismiss [Doc. #389]. Furthermore, if Plaintiffs file a response to Defendant Wilson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Motion to Dismiss Uncontested Renewed Motion to Dismiss [Doc. #389], Defendant Wilson shall have up to and including May 8, 2014 to file a reply to Plaintiffs' response.

This the 2nd day of May, 2014.


United States District Judge