# EXHIBIT 1

STATE OF NORTH CAROLL		NA File No.		File No.
DURHAM		ounty		In The General Court Of Justice District  Superior Court Division
In Re: The Nontestim	onial Ident	fication Of	No.	
See Attachment				ITESTIMONIAL FICATION ORDER
See Attachment			· (AD	ULT SUSPECT)
City, State, Zip	<u> </u>	<del></del>	O/fense	G.S. Chapter 15A, Art. 14
See Attachment			See Attachment	
To The Suspect Named Or D	escribed A	bove:		
You are ORDERED to appear				
03-23-2006	4:00	☐ AM 🖾 PM	Location Durham P.D. Forensics Un	it, 213 Broadway St., Durham, NC
There are reasonable grounds ordered here will be of mater offense has been committed confirming or negating the su	miscemes to suspectation of the suspectation o	anor, has been cor t that you commin etermining whethen t that you commit re stated in the att	nmitted.  Ited the offense and that  If you committed the offe  Ited it, and to believe that  ached application and aff	ed above, which is punishable as a the results of the procedures use. The grounds to believe that and this Order will be of material aid in idavit. Your presence is required for the investigation of the offense
The methods to be used and		equired to conduct	t the nontestimonial proce	edures are as follows:
Photographic documentation and	identificatio	n; DNA		•
Methods  Dhotomorphy of food town and a				Time Required
Photographs of face, torso, and under the period of your appropriate that requires the period of your appropriate that the period of your appropriate that requires the period of your appropriate that the period of your appropriate that requires the period of your appropriate that the period of your appropriate the period of your appropriate the period of your appropriate that the period of your appropriate the your ap	pearance y	ou will not be sub	<del></del>	1 Hours Minutes
hold the procedure at your re	esidence, u	inless a line-up is o	ordered.	edure ordered including a request to
You are entitled to be repres state will appoint one if you	ented by a so desire.	n attorney at the p	procedure, and if you can	not afford to retain an attorney, the
IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AS		r		TEMPT OF COURT.
This information was furnish	ed under d	ath by the person		
Name Of Prosecutor  David Saacks	·	•	Date	00.00.000
Name Of Other Affiant	·		Signature	03-23-2006
Inv. Benjamin Himan, D.P.D.			1 Covals	7. Westens
			District Court Judge	CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT DURING COUNTY
AOC-CR-205, Rev. 6/99 01999 Administrative Office of the	Courts		Copy-Suspect	Assistant Deput) Clerk Superior Court

By delivering to the named suspect personally a copy of the order.    Suspect WAS NOT served for the following reason:    Dele Received	If find, for the reasons stated by the prosecutor in the application for the nontestimonial identification order, that the order does not have to be served at least seventy-two (72) hours before the nontestimonial procedure. Therefore, the order must be served within the time indicated below.    Time for Walch Order Alwass Served Rete To Procedure Immediately		INSTRUCTION TO	SERVING OFFICER
Therefore, the order must be served at least seventy-two (72) hours before the nontestimonial procedure.  Therefore, the order must be served within the time indicated below.    Therefore, the order must be served within the time indicated below.   Therefore, the order must be served within the time indicated below.   Therefore, the order must be served within the time indicated below.   The Served   The Developer   The Served   The Serve	that the order does not have to be served at least seventy-two (72) hours before the nontestimonial procedure. Therefore, the order must be served within the time indicated below.    Therefore, the order for the procedure within the time indicated below.   Therefore, the order for the following reason:   The five five five for the following reason:   The five five five for five five five five five five five five	<ol> <li>This Order must be served perso procedure.</li> </ol>	nally at least seven	ty-two (72) hours before the nontestimonial identification
Introduction   June	Core   County   Cou	that the order does not have to b	e served at least s	eventy-two (72) hours before the nontestimonial procedure.
Cost   County   Cou	Control   Cont			Time in Which Order Must Be Served Prior To Procedure
Cartify that this Order was received and served as follows:   Cartify that this Order was received and served as follows:	County   C			
Signature   Sign	Signature   Sign			
RETURN OF SERVICE   Certify that this Order was received and served as follows:   Date Served	Certify that this Order was received and served as follows:   Date Served			
RETURN OF SERVICE   Certify that this Order was received and served as follows:   Date Served	Certify that this Order was received and served as follows:   Date Served	٠.		1 < Mala J. Office
Certify that this Order was received and served as follows:   Data Served	Certify that this Order was received end served as follows:   Date Served		Services of	
Time Served	Time Served			
By delivering to the named suspect personally a copy of the order.    Suspect WAS NOT served for the following reason:    Dele Received	By delivering to the named suspect personally a copy of the order.  Suspect WAS NOT served for the following reason:    Date Received	·	nd served as tollov	·
Suspect WAS NOT served for the collowing reason:   Date Of Return	Suspect WAS NOT served for the following reason:    Distance Of Lew Enforcement Offices	Date Served Time Served	☐ AM ☐ PM	Signature Of Suspect
Suspect WAS NOT served for the following reason:   Date Received	Suspect WAS NOT served for the following reason:   Distance Of Resure	El By delivering to the named suspect	personally a copy	of the order.
Date Received    Date Of Return	Date Received    Date Of Return			
The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:    Dete       District Court Judge     Superior Court Judge	City, County  MODIFICATION ORDER  The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:  Date  Signature  District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  DURHAM COLOR COLORS  BY	G odopost WAS 110 1 Served for the		
The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:    Dete       District Court Judge     Superior Court Judge	City, County  MODIFICATION ORDER  The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:  Date  Signature  District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  DURHAM COLOR COLORS  BY			
The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:    Dete       District Court Judge     Superior Court Judge	City, County  MODIFICATION ORDER  The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:  Date  Signature  District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  DURHAM COLOR COLORS  BY			
The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:    Dete       District Court Judge     Superior Court Judge	City, County  MODIFICATION ORDER  The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:  Date  Signature  District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  DURHAM COLOR COLORS  BY			
MODIFICATION ORDER  MODIFICATION ORDER  The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:    Dete     Signature     District Court Judge     Superior Court Judge	City, County  MODIFICATION ORDER  The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:  Date  Signature  District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  DURHAM COING COING			
The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:    Date   Signature   District Court Judge   Superior Court Judge	The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:    Date   Signature   District Court Judge   Superior Court Judge	Date Of Resur		Name Of Law Eurorement Officer
The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:    Date   Signature   District Court Judge   Superior Court Judge   CLERK OF SUPERIOR COLDS	The time and place of the suspect's appearance is changed as follows:    Date   Signature   District Court Judge   Superior Court Judge   CLERK OF SUPERIOR COLDS	City, County		Agency
District Court Judge    District Court Judge   Superior Court Judge	Date  Signature  District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT  DURHAM COURT		MODIFICA	TION ORDER
District Court Judge    District Court Judge   Superior Court Judge	Date  Signature  District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT  DURHAM COURT	The time and place of the suspect's a	pearance is chang	ed as follows:
Signature  District Court Judge  ATRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COPY  BY  BY	Signature  District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COPY  By			
Signature  District Court Judge  ATRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COPY  By  By	Signature  District Court Judge  ATRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COPY  By			
Signature  District Court Judge  ATRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COPY  BY  BY	Signature  District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COPY  By		,	
Signature  District Court Judge  ATRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COPY  BY  BY	Signature  District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COPY  By			•
Signature  District Court Judge  ATRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COPY  BY  BY	Signature  District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COPY  By			
District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COUPY  By	District Court Judge  A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COUPY  By			Date
A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COLDS  BY	A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COMP  By			Signature
A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COLDS  BY	A TRUE COPY  CLERK OF SUPERIOR COMP  By			
By Com Colon	By Com Colum			
By Com Colon	By Com Colum			TRUE
By Com Colon	By Com Colum			COD.
AOC-CR-205, Side Two, Rev. 6/99	AOC-CR-205, Side Two, Rev. 6/99 e1999 Administrative Office of the Courts			BU DURHAM COUR COUR
AOC-CR-205, Side Two, Rev. 6/99	AOC-CR-205, Side Two, Rev. 6/99 o1999 Administrative Office of the Courts	1		ASSESSED 17
o 1999 Administrative Office of the Courts	Superior Course	AOC-CR-205, Side Two, Rev. 6/99		Copuny Cieb
Superior Comments				" Superior Court

			•
·	<u> </u>		File No.
STATE OF NORTH CAROLI	1A		<u>}</u>
DURHAM C	l intv	•	
		In Ti F⊺ Distrio	ne General Court Of Justice t  Superior Court Division
In Re: The Nontestimonial Identifica	tion Order Of		
In Re: The Normesumorial identification	Con Class Ci	APPLICATION FOR	NONTESTIMONIAL
See Attachment.	<del> </del>	IDENTIFICA	TION ORDER
See Attachment		(ADULT	SUSPECT)
ky, Siste. Zp See Attachment			G.S. Chapter 15A. Art. 14
Offerise	÷		·
See Attachment  The undersigned prosecutor applies for a	nontestimonial iden	ification order directing the pe	rson named or described above
to appear as follows for the requested no	ntestimonial identifi	cation procedures:	
Date Time		Location Durham PD Forensics Unit 213 F	Broadway St. Durham, NC
03/23/2006 4:00	<del>                                     </del>		•
Requested Montestimental Identification Processions  I photographs of potential injuries sustained	on the suspects during	the alleged offenses located on th	eir torso or upper extremenes.
2 mug shot photographs for line-ups			
3 swabbings of the mouth to provide DNA ex	dence for a link betw	een the victim and suspects.	*** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
There is probable cause to believe that a committed and reasonable grounds to suresults of the requested nontestimonial incommitted the offense.	dentification proced	ures will be of material aid in d	etermining whether this person
The facts establishing the matters state	d in the preceding p	Signature of Prosestion	TIGOUS ON AND TO THE TIES
Date 03/23/2006		my Jany	*,
- Francisco of Source than (72) Ho	u Rule		
The undersigned prosecutor, being fi following reason:	rst duly swom, requ	ests that the seventy-two (72)	hour rule be modified for the
E delining adversely affect th	e probatīve value of	the evidence sought.	•
3. Gesay will adversely affect the cors	on named or descrit	ed above may not appear for t	he nontestimonial identification
l assessing	Ω		
Facts Supporting Region Checked Above for Modification The injuries sustained by the suspects during	of Sevency-Two (72) Hou	sexual assault will be lost if not in	mediately discovered and
The injuries sustained by the suspects during documented. Currently, the injuries would be	be 10 days old.	•	
SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED TO	EFFORE ME	NOTE: Prosecutor must be s modification of the seventy-ty	WO (72 POOR POR COD)
Date		3/23/94	CLERK OF SUPERIOR COUR
3/13/06 Signature Of Judge	2 1/2	Signature of Rosecuror	DURHAM COUNTY
i-Mail d. Ols	ymas -	- Proving	By
AOC-CR-204, Rev. 6/99	.∥	riginal-file (Over)	Assistant Deput) Clerk Superior Court

1.2	AFFIDAVIT FO	R NONTESTIMONL	AL IDENTIFICATION PROCED	URE SECTION OF THE SE
, the	e undersigned affiant, being first duly	swom, say that:	•	
	have probable cause to believe that ommitted.	n offense punishab	le as a felony or Class A1 or (	Class 1 misdemeanor was
17	Xferse		County in Which Offense Committed	Offense Date
	See Attachment		Durham	03/13/2006
	acts Which Establish Probable Cause	<u>.</u>		
ł				
ľ	See attachment			-
-{	i			
1			•	
			•	
1				
2. 1	have reasonable grounds to suspect	hat the person nam	ed or described on the revers	e committed the offense.
Π	acrs Which Establish Reasonable Grounds			
- 1	See attachment			
Į,		•		
1	<i>,</i>	•	•	
		• •	_	,
1	i		•	
L				
3. 1 d	The results of the nontestimonial ident determining whether the person name	ification procedure d or described on t	s requested on the reverse wi ne reverse committed the offe	ill be of material aid in anse.
	Reasons Why Procedures Will Be Of Material Ald			····
ľ	Reasons Why Procedures Will Be Of Material Au		Man of the second design and the second of t	al-states complection and
- 1	1 The photographs used for mug shots	will give the availat	onty of the suspect's current in	air Styles, complection, and
1	body mass for photographic line up	to identity me sash	ecis	••
	2 The photographs of the torso and up will be lost if not immediately discov	ered and documents	d immediately.	
	3 The DNA obtained through the mou	h swabbings will pr	ave a link between the suspect	S Mill Aifring in this turn.
J		•		<b>€</b> •
_ 1	٠.		•	
	•		•	•
-	,		•	•
ı		."		•
1	:		•	
	, '		•	
	•		•	•
	]	Į.	· ·	
اِ			•	
			Dete	
	SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED TO B	EFORE ME	3/23/06	
ata			Signature Of project	~~~
grag	23 March Froy	-	Harne Of Affects (Type Or Print)	A IRUE COP
	Kon all or of	e/1000	Benjamin Him	APCLERK OF SUPERIOR COUR
<u> </u>	District Court Judge Superior C	our Judge	1	DURHAM, COUNTY
<u>ٔ נו</u>	DOUG COST NOTE		Investigator	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				By
AD(	C-CR-204, Side Two, Rev. 6/99 99 Administrative Office of the Courts			Assistant Deputy/Clerk Superior Court
e 13	23 WRITING BUYE OF THE OF THE COMP	<b>∦</b> .		A Law coherent court

•

#### STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DURHAM COUNTY

# IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE DISTRICT/ SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

ATTACHMENT FOR APPLICATION FOR NONTESTIMONIAL IDENTIFICATION ORDER.

IN THE MATTER OF: 610 N. Buchanan Blvd. Durham, NC 27701

The undersigned prosecutor applies for a nontestimonial identification order directing the persons named or described below to appear as follows for the requested nontestimonial identification order.

### I Name and Description of Subjects

Matthew Zash, W/M, 10-18-1983 610 N. Buchanan Durham, NC

Michael Spencer Young, W/M, 10-7-1986 Duke University Edens Hall 2C Room 205 Durham, NC

William Wolcott, W/M, 4-13-1983 3832 Hanover Ave Dallas, TX

Matthew Wilson, W/M, 12-29-1984 206 Oregon St. Apt. #N Durham, NC

Robert Wellington, W/M, 01-18-1986 HH Dorm Duke University Rm # 203 Durham, NC

Michael Ward, W/M, 5-1-1985 206 Oregon St. Apt. W Durham, NC

John Walsh, W/M, 8-20-1984 206 Oregon St Apt #\$ Durham, NC

Christopher Tkac, W.M., 9-15-1986 Giles Dorm #226 Duke University Durham, NC

Bret Thompson, W/M, 9-2-1983 1000 McQueen Dr Durham, NC

Daniel Theodoridis, W/M, 2/24/1987
Pegram Dorm # 313 Duke University Durham, NC

Robert Schroeder, WM, 7-17-1986 Edens Hall #2C Rm. 203 Duke University Durham, NC A TRUE COPY
CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT
DURHAM COUNTY

Assistant Deputy, Clark Superior Court

12 mall / Stephens

DATE: 93 M/21-2046

Assistant District Attorney

DATE: 3/23/06

DM ~1.1

# . IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE DISTRICT/ SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

#### ATTACHMENT FOR APPLICATION FOR NONTESTIMONIAL IDENTIFICATION ORDER

### I Name and Description of Subjects (continuation)

Kenneth J. Sauer, W/N, 2-11-1984 8 Davidson Ave East Rockaway, NY

Sam Payton, W/M, 4-19-1987
Pegram Dorm # 313 Duke University Durham, NC

Daniel Oppedisano, W/M, 12-24-1984 206 Oregon St Apt. F Durham, NC

Nicholas O'Hara, W/M, 9-28-1985 205 Oregon St Apt. C.Durham, NC

Glenn Nick, W/M, 3-23-1984 75 Lincoln St Garden City NY

Ryan McFadyen, W/M, 5-11-1986 Edens Hall #2C Rm. 204 Duke University Durham, NC

Tony McDevitt, W/M, 9-26-1984 206 Oregon St. #S Durham, NC

Kevin Mayer, W/M, \$-19-1986 Edens Hall #2C Rm. 202 Duke University Durham, NC

Breck Archer, W/M, -20-1984 206 Oregon St Apt. N Durham, NC

Casey Carroll, W/M, 12-17-1984 206 Oregon St. Apt I Durham, NC

Mike Catalino, W/M, 6-24-1987 319 Bassett Dorm Duke University Durham, NC

Tom Clute, W/M, 10 26-1986 226 Giles Dorm Duke University Durham, NC

Kevin Coleman, W/M, 1-1-1984 194 Beechwood Rd. Ridgewood, NJ CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT
DURHAM COUNTY

By

Anna Sunky

Assistant District Attorney

DATE: 3/23/06

0 1 .....

JUDGE

DATE: 037401. 2006

#### STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DURHAM COUNTY

# IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE DISTRICT/ SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

#### ATTACHMENT FOR APPLICATION FOR NONTESTIMONIAL IDENTIFICATION ORDER

#### I Name and Description of Subjects (continuation)

Josh Coveleski, W/M, 7-26-1985 Edend Dorm #2C Rm. 302 Duke University Durham, NC

Ned Crotty, W/M, 9-26-1986 308 Aycock Dorm Duke University Durham, NC

Matt Danowski, W/M 8-12-1985 206 Oregon St Apt. #W Durham, NC

Ed Douglas, W/M, 12-1-1983 221 Anderson Apt. L Durham, NC

Kyle Dowd, W/M, 11 6-1984 1000 McQueen Apt 10103 Durham, NC

David Evans, W/M, 47-1983 610 N. Buchanan Durham, NC

Collin Finnerty, W/M 9-10-1986 Edens Hall 2C Rm. 203 Duke University Durham, NC

Dan Flannery, W/M, 3-16-1984 610 N. Buchanan Dumarn, NC

Gibbs Fogarty, W/M, 11-7-1986 308 Aycock Dorm Duke University Durham, NC

Zack Greer, W/M, 2-12-1986

Edens Hall 2C Rm. 202 Duke University Durham, NC

Erik Henkelman, W/M, 6-18-1983 623 University Pl. Swathmore, PA

Jay Jennison, W/M, 912-1985 Edens Hall 2C Rm. 301 Duke University Durham, NC A TRUE COPY

CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

DURHAM COUNTY

By

Assistant Deputy of the county

128 Mall 2. Stephers

TUDGE

DATE: 13 Mal. 2506

Assistant District Attorney

DATE: 3/23/06

#### STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DURHAM COUNTY

# IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE DISTRICT/ SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

### ATTACHMENT FOR APPLICATION FOR NONTESTIMONIAL IDENTIFICATION ORDER

# I Name and Description of Subjects (continuation)

Ben Koesterer, W/M, 10-28-1985 Edens Hall 3B Rm. 40 Duke University Durham, NC

Fred Krom, W/M, 1-7-1985 206 Oregon St Apt. F Durham, NC

Peter Lamade, W/M, 4-22-1984 206 Oregon St. Apt. I Durham, NC

Chris Loftus, W/M, 6-28-1986 Edens Hall 2C Rm. 205 Duke University Durham, NC

Dan Loftus, W/M, 12-31-1984 205 Oregon St. Apt. C Durham, NC

John Bradley Ross, WM, 8-10-1985 Edens 2C Rm. 204 Duke University Durham, NC

Reade William Seligmann, WM, 3-3-1986 Edens 2C Rm. 301 Duke University Durham, NC

Stephen Dearnley Schoffel, WM, 7-7-1986 Bassett Rm. 319 Duke University Durham, NC

Adam Scott Langley, WM, 7-19-1986 Edens 1A Rm. 414 Dike University Durham, NC

Edward Codrington Carrington VII (AKA "Bo"), WM, 6-23-1986 HH 203 Rm. 203 Duke University Durham, NC A TRUE COPY
CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT
DURHAM COUNTY

Assistant Deputy,

### II Offenses

First Degree Forcible Rape (N.C.G.S. 14-27.3), First Degree Kidnapping (N.C.G.S.14-39), First Degree Forcible Sexual Offense (N.C.G.S. 14-27.4), Common Law Robbery (N.C.G.S. 14-87.1), Felonious Strangulation (N.C.G.S. 14-32 4(b))

RUDGE TO STATE OF THE PURPLE O

DATE: 137/01.2016

Assistant District Attorney

DATE: 3/23/06

0 dl 26.1

ATTACHMENT FOR APPLICATION FOR NONTESTIMONIAL IDENTIFICATION ORDER

## III Facts That Establish Probable Cause

On 3/14/06 at 1:22am, Durham City Police Officers were called to the Kroger on Hillsborough Road. The victim, a 27 year old black female reported to the officers that she had been raped and sexually assaulted at 610 North Buchanan Blvd. The investigation revealed that the victim and a co-worker had an appointment to dance at 610 North Buchanan Blvd. The victim arrived at the residence and joined the other female dancer around 11:30pm on 3/13/2006. The victim reported that they began to perform their routine inside of the residence. After a few minutes, the males watching them began to get excited and aggressive. One male stated to the women "I'm gonna shove this up you" while holding a broom stick up in the air so they could see it. The victim and her fellow dancer decided to leave because they were concerned for their safety. After the two women exited the residence and got into a vehicle, they were approached by one of the suspects. He apologized and requested they go back inside and continue to dance. Shortly after going back into the dwelling the two women were separated. Two males, Adam and Matt pulled the victim into the bathroom. Someone closed the door to the bathroom where she was, and said "sweet heart you can't leave." The victim stated she tried to leave, but the three males (Adam, Bret, and Matt) forcefully held her legs and arms and raped and sexually assaulted her anally, vaginally and orally. The victim stated she was hit, kicked, and strangled during the assault. As she attempted to defend herself, she was overpowered. The victim reported she was sexually assaulted for an approximate 30 minute time period by the three males. During a search warrant at 610 N. Buchanan on 3-16-2006 the victim's four red polished fingernails were recovered inside the residence consistent to her version of the attack. She claimed she was clawing at one of the suspect's arms in an attempt to breath while being strangled. During that time the nails broke off. The victim's make up bag, cell phone, and identification were also located inside the residence during the search warrant. Finally, a pile of twenty dollar bills were recovered inside the residence totaling \$160.00 consistent with the victim claiming \$400.00 cash in all twenty dollar bills was taken from her purse immediately after the rape. The victim was treated and evaluated at Duke University Medical Center Emergency Room shortly after the attack took place. A Forensic Sexual Assault Nurse (SANE) and Physician conducted the examination. Medical records and interviews that were obtained by a subpoena revealed the victim had signs, symptoms, and injuries consistent with being raped and sexually assaulted vaginally and anally. Furthermore, the SANE nurse stated the injuries and her behavior were consistent with a traumatic experience.

Wald I. Visher

DATE: 23 70/A1. 2006

Assistant District Attorney

DATE: 3/23/16

1 (n al.-1-1

CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT
DURHAM COUNTY

ATTACHMENT FOR APPLICATION FOR NONTESTIMONIAL IDENTIFICATION ORDER

#### IV Facts That Establish Reasonable Grounds

The victim stated she did not think the names the suspects were providing her were their own. She stated one male identified himself as Adam, but everyone at the party was calling him Dan. In addition, the witness/co-worker stated the men at the party told her they were members of the Duke Baseball and Track Team to hide the true identity of their sports affiliation — Duke Lacrosse Team Members. In a non-custodial interview with Daniel Flannery, resident of 610 N. Buchanan and Duke Lacrosse Team Captain; Mr. Flannery admitted using an alias to make the reservation to have the dancers attend the Lacrosse Team Party.

The State believes there is an exigent circumstance where if the suspect's injuries are not located immediately and preserved, the evidence will be lost forever. All of the parties named in this application with the exception of the last five were named by the three residents of 610 N. Buchanan as being present at the party. The three residents stated during the non-custodial interviews that their fellow Duke Lacrosse Team Members were the ones who attended this party. They knew everyone there, and stated there were no strangers who showed up at the event. Due to the fact that the residents of 610 N. Buchanan stated that all the attendees were their fellow Duke Lacrosse Team Members and that there were so many attendees, all of the white male Duke Lacrosse Team Members were listed since they were all aware of the party and could have been present.

It is the States belief the suspects used each others names to disguise their own identities and create an atmosphere where confusion would become a factor in this event should problems arise in the future where any actions or conduct would be questioned. The DNA evidence requested will immediately rule out any innocent persons, and show conclusive evidence as to who the suspect(s) are in the alleged violent attack upon this victim. Numerous persons who attended this party are seniors at Duke University and have permanent addresses outside of the State of North Carolina making it difficult if not impossible to collect the DNA evidence in the future when necessary.

JUDGE

DATE: 237/81. 2006

Assistant District Attorney

DATE: 3/23/06

A. Alca 3/23/20