## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

RYAN MCFADYEN, et al., Plaintiffs, v. DUKE UNIVERSITY, et al., Defendants.

LINWOOD WILSON'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO EXTEND TIME

## **Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-00953**

1. For the reason stated in City of Durham Defendants Joint Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion For Extension of Time (Document 67), Defendant Linwood Wilson asks the court to be joined with the City Defendants in Opposition to Extension of Time by Plaintiffs' in Plaintiffs' Motion Document No. 66.

2. Finally, Plaintiffs' counsel states that he "has contacted and attempted to consulted [sic] with the Defendants' counsel" about an extension of time. In fact, though he has never attempted to contact Defendant Wilson who is *pro se* in this matter.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Wilson requests that the Court deny Plaintiffs' Motion For Extension of Time.

Dated: October 2, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

BY: /s/ LINWOOD E. WILSON
LINWOOD E. WILSON
\*\*Address Redacted Pursuant to Local Rule

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING AND SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, pursuant to Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure and LR5.3 and LR5.4, MDNC, the foregoing pleading, motion,

affidavit, notice, or other document/paper has been electronically filed with the

Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which system will automatically

generate and send a Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) to the undersigned filing

user and registered users of record, and that the Court's electronic records show

that each party to this action is represented by at least one registered user of

record, to each of whom the NEF will be transmitted.

This the 2nd day of October, 2008.

By: /s/ Linwood Wilson Linwood E. Wilson, *Pro Se* 

\*\*Address Redacted Pursuant to Local Rule