IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

1:08cv119

EDWARD CARRINGTON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DUKE UNIVERSITY, et al.,

Defendants.

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF LINWOOD WILSON'S MOTION TO DISMISS

Defendant Linwood Wilson pursuant to this Court's order (Doc. No. 131), submit this supplemental brief in support of his motion to dismiss. This brief addresses the effect of the Supreme Court's recent decision in *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937 (2009).

In order not to be repetitive and overbearing on the court, Defendant Linwood Wilson joins Defendants Mark Gottlieb, Benjamin Himan, and the City of Durham, North Carolina ("City"), Durham Supervisors (collectively, "City Defendants") in their Supplemental Briefs In Support of their Motions to Dismiss (Document #134, #135) and Duke University Defendants (collectively) (Document #133).

CONCLUSION

Whereby stated in Defendants Supplemental Briefs In Support of their Motions to Dismiss as referenced above, Plaintiffs' claims must be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted, this the 24th day of June, 2009.

By: <u>/s/ Linwood E. Wilson</u> Linwood E. Wilson *Pro Se* CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING AND SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, pursuant to Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure and LR5.3 and LR5.4, MDNC, the foregoing pleading, motion, affidavit,

notice, or other document/paper has been electronically filed with the Clerk of Court

using the CM/ECF system, which system will automatically generate and send a Notice

of Electronic Filing (NEF) to the undersigned filing user and registered users of record,

and that the Court's electronic records show that each party to this action is represented

by at least one registered user of record (or that the party is a registered user of record), to

each of whom the NEF will be transmitted.

This the 24th day of June, 2009.

By: <u>/s/ Linwood E. Wilson</u> Linwood E. Wilson

Pro Se

2