Case 1:08-cv-00263-SS Document 83 Filed 08/11/08 Page 1 of 2

## STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSIONS, INC. v. UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, et al

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

FILED 2008 AUG II AM 9: 42 WESTERN DISTRIC

ABIGAIL NOEL FISHER and RACHEL MULTER MICHALEWICZ, Plaintiffs,

-VS-

Case No. A-08-CA-263-SS

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Defendants.

## <u>ORDER</u>

BE IT REMEMBERED on the  $\underline{\delta}^{\underline{\mu}}$  day of August 2008 the Court reviewed the file in the above-styled cause, and specifically Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint [#61], Motion to Intervene by Chad Stanton, Anthony Williams, Ariel Barrett, C.J. Davis, Devon Robertson, Trenton Stanton, Eric Stanton, and the University of Texas Black Student Alliance [#63], Motion to Intervene as Defendants by Sarah Cortez, Lawrence Longoria, Jr., Nathan Bunch, and Longhorn League of United Latin American Citizens [#72], and Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Motions to Intervene [#76]. After considering the motions, the memorandum in opposition, the relevant law, and the case file as a whole, the Court enters the following orders.

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint [#61], being unopposed, is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion to Intervene by Chad Stanton, Anthony Williams, Ariel Barrett, C.J. Davis, Devon Robertson, Trenton Stanton, Eric Stanton, and the University of Texas Black Student Alliance [#63] and the Motion to Intervene as

## EXHIBIT B

Dockets.Justia.co

.

Doc. 50 Att.

Defendants by Sarah Cortez, Lawrence Longoria, Jr., Nathan Bunch, and Longhorn League of United Latin American Citizens [#72] and DENIED and the answers filed by the proposed interveners are ordered stricken from the record. Specifically, the Court finds movants' interests adequately represented by the existing parties, specifically the defendants, in this litigation. The Court also finds denial of the motions to intervene to be in the best interest of an efficient resolution of this case.

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that, at the conclusion of the trial on the merits in this case, each group of proposed interveners will be permitted to file an amicus brief no more than twenty (20) pages in length.

SIGNED this the  $8^{\frac{\mu}{2}}$  day of August 2008.

msparks

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE