Sacco v. Astrue Doc. 22

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION CIVIL CASE NO. 1:09cv320

PETER J. SACCO,)
Plaintiff,))
vs.)) <u>ORDER</u> \
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,))
Defendant.))
))

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the Plaintiff's Supplemental Motion for Attorney's Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act and the Social Security Act [Doc. 19], and the Defendant's Response [Doc. 20] and Amended Resonse [Doc. 21] thereto.

These Motions stem from the Court's Memorandum of Decision and Order entered December 22, 2010. [Doc. 17]. This Order granted in part and denied in part Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act and the Social Security Act [Doc. 13], without prejudice to the parties' right to file such supplemental motions as are before the Court today.

The motions are received as timely Motions for Relief from said Order pursuant to Rule 60(b).

Review of the Plaintiff's submissions indicates that he has filed an Assignment of Attorney Fees executed on December 23, 2010. [Doc. 19-1].

Review of the Defendant's submissions indicates that he is unopposed to the Plaintiff's Supplemental Motion for fees, and that he has determined that Plaintiff owes no debt subject to federal offset provisions, and that he is "willing to exercise his discretion to pay the attorney fees previously ordered by the district court, in the amount of two-thousand, four-hundred, and fifty-eight dollars and one cent (\$2,458.01), directly to counsel for Plaintiff." [Doc. 21].

These submissions satisfy the concerns discussed in that portion of the December 22, 2010 Order which denies Plaintiff's fee request to the extent it seeks issuance of payment direct to Plaintiff's counsel.

For good cause shown, it is therefore **ORDERED**:

That the mandate of this Court's December 22, 2010 Order is
 VACATED.

- 2. That for the reasons set out in its Order of December 22, 2010, the Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act and the Social Security Act [Doc. 13] is hereby GRANTED, and:
 - (a) The Plaintiff is hereby awarded \$2,458.01 in attorney's fees and expenses pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d).
 - (b) The Plaintiff is further awarded \$350.00 in costs, to be certified by the Office of the United States Attorney to the Department of Treasury for payment from the Judgment Fund.
- (3) Plaintiff's Motion Supplemental Motion for Attorney's Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act and the Social Security Act [Doc. 19], and the Defendant's Amended Response [Doc. 21] thereto are GRANTED, and payment of the sums awarded may be made directly to Plaintiff's counsel.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Response [Doc. 20] to Plaintiff's Supplemental Motion [Doc. 19] is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in the event that past-due benefits are awarded on remand, the Plaintiff shall have thirty (30) days after being

served with notice of the past-due benefits award to file for an award of fees pursuant to the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 406(b).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no additional petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d) shall be filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: January 27, 2011

Martin Reidinger

United States District Judge