D L H S y n o v u s B a n kD

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION 1:11cv70

)	
)	
)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	
)	
))))))

In its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant asserted Counterclaims and a Third Party Complaint. Plaintiff then moved to strike the Third Party Complaint [#7] and moved to dismiss the Counterclaims [#9]. Within twenty-one days of the filing of the Motion to Dismiss, Defendant filed an Amended Answer, which it was allowed to do as a matter of course. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). The Amended Answer, however, supercedes the original Answer, Counterclaims, and Third Party Complaint. Accordingly, the Court **DENIES as moot** the Motion to Dismiss Counterclaims [#9] and Motion to Strike Answer to Complaint [#7].

D o c

Signed: August 2, 2011

Dennis L. Howell United States Magistrate Judge