IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION 1:11cv228

LAWRENCE WEINGARTZ,)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. and)
WELLS FARGO FINANCIAL)
NATIONAL BANK, Trustee,)
)
Defendants.)

ROSEBORO ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [# 6]. Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se* and will be advised of his obligation to respond and the time for doing so.

)

In accordance with <u>Roseboro v. Garrison</u>, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), Plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se*, is cautioned that Defendants have filed a Motion to Dismiss contending that the Complaint fails to state a claim. Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides for dismissal where a party has failed to state a cause of action as a matter of law. This language means that in responding to the motion to dismiss, Plaintiff must show that he has made sufficient allegations to support a cause of action against such defendant that is recognized by law. In <u>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</u>, 550 U.S. 544 (2007), the Court held that to survive a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss, a plaintiff must allege facts in his complaint that "raise a right to relief above the speculative level." Id., at 555.

[A] plaintiff's obligation to provide the "grounds" of his "entitle[ment] to relief" requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do

<u>Id.</u> (second alteration in original; citation omitted). Further, a complaint will not survive Rule 12(b)(6) review where it contains "naked assertion[s] devoid of further factual enhancement." <u>Id.</u>, at 557. Instead, a plaintiff must now plead sufficient facts to state a claim for relief that is "plausible on its face." <u>Id.</u>, at 570. The court again visited the Rule 12(b)(6) pleading standard in <u>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</u>, ______ U.S. ____, 129 S. Ct. 1937 (May 18, 2009). In <u>Ashcroft</u>, the Court held that Rule 8 "demands more than an unadorned, the defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation." <u>Id.</u>, S. Ct., at 1949. The Court explained that, "to survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." <u>Id.</u> (internal quotation and citation omitted). What is plausible is defined by the Court:

[a] claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads sufficient factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged.

<u>Id.</u> This "plausibility standard" requires "more than a sheer possibility that a defendant has acted unlawfully." <u>Id.</u> Thus, a complaint falls short of the plausibility standard where plaintiff "pleads facts that are 'merely consistent with' a defendant's liability" <u>Id.</u>

While the court accepts plausible factual allegations made in the Complaint as true and considers those facts in the light most favorable to plaintiff in ruling on a motion to dismiss, a court "need not accept as true unwarranted inferences, unreasonable conclusions, or arguments." <u>Eastern Shore Mkt.'s Inc. v. J.D.</u> <u>Assoc.'s, LLP</u>, 213 F. 3d 175, 180 (4th Cir. 2000).

Finally, Plaintiff is advised that the method for responding requires that he file a written "response" to Defendants' motion within the time allowed by this Order. A copy of such response must be sent to counsel for all other parties, and Plaintiff must certify that he has made such service in a "certificate of service" indicating the manner in which such service was made.

ORDER

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Plaintiff file his written response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [# 6] by November 10, 2011.

J

Signed: November 2, 2011

(Hausel Γ

Dennis L. Howell United States Magistrate Judge