IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 3:06CV132-MU-02

BRENT A. ROBINSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA;)	
and)	
RICK JACKSON, Supt.,)	
Defendants.)	
	_)	

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the plaintiff's form civil rights Complaint under 42 U.S.C. §§1983, filed March 21, 2006. For the reasons stated herein, the plaintiff's action will be dismissed in its entirety.

As best as can be understood, the plaintiff is attempting to complain about certain circumstances which allegedly occurred in connection with his appeal of his criminal conviction. More particularly, the plaintiff complains that his constitutional rights were violated when the North Carolina Supreme Court abused its discretion by dismissing his pro-se petition for plain error review without commenting on his claim that his rights were violated when his appellate counsel abandoned certain assignments of error on direct appeal.

By way of relief, the plaintiff asks this Court to enter an Order vacating his convictions and remanding his case to the

Superior Court of Forsyth County. Suffice it to say, however, that the instant Complaint must be dismissed, chiefly because this Court lacks the authority to address the subject claim.

Indeed, it is apparent that the plaintiff is attempting to use this civil rights litigation to challenge the legality of his criminal conviction and/or sentence. However, it also is clear to the undersigned that the <u>Younger</u> abstention doctrine precludes this Court from interfering with what appears to be an on-going State Court criminal case. <u>See Younger v. Harris</u>, 401 U.S. 37 (1971). To be sure, there is "a strong federal policy against federal-court interference with pending state judicial proceedings absent extraordinary circumstances." <u>RF&P v. Forst</u>, 4 F.3d 244, 251 (4th Cir. 1993). Consequently, since the plaintiff has failed to articulate any facts which could support the finding of "extraordinary circumstances," this Court will abstain from interfering with the State court's criminal proceedings.

In the alternative, if the plaintiff's criminal case has been concluded, the Court finds that he still is not entitled to proceed with the instant civil rights litigation. Rather, the only way in which an individual can obtain federal review of his/her State court criminal litigation is through a properly filed petition for https://doi.org/10.1001/jabeas.compus review under 28 U.S.C. §2254. Accordingly, this Complaint must be dismissed.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the instant Complaint is

DISMISSED, ultimately for the plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: March 22, 2006

Graham C. Mullen United States District Judge