David v. Alphin et al Doc. 165

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 3:07cv11

ELENA M. DAVID; ARLEEN J. STACH; and)	
VICTOR M. HERNANDEZ,)	
Plaintiffs)	
Vs.)	ORDER
J. STEELE ALPHIN; AMY WOODS)	
BRINKLEY; EDWARD J. BROWN, III;)	
CHARLES J. COOLEY; RICHARD M.)	
DeMARTINI; BARBARA J. DESOER;)	
JAMES H. HANCE; LIAM E. McGEE;)	
EUGENE M. McQUADE; ALVARO G.)	
de MOLINA; MICHAEL E. O'NEILL;)	
OWEN G. SHELL, JR.; R. EUGENE)	
TAYLOR; F. WILLIAM VANDIVER, JR.;)	
BRADFORD H. WARNER; CHARLES W.)	
COKER; STEVEN JONES; KENNETH D.)	
LEWIS; BANK OF AMERICA)	
CORPORATION; BANK OF AMERICA)	
CORPORATION CORPORATE)	
BENEFITS COMMITTEE,)	
Defendants.)	

THIS MATTER is before the court on defendants' "Motion to Continue Stay of Discovery as to issues of Market Timing Involving Bank of America and its Affiliated Mutual Funds Until May 7, 2010" (#163). Plaintiffs' have filed a timely response asserting strong arguments as to why the stay should not be continued a third

time, including arguments that the information sought is relevant to affirmative

defenses asserted by defendants, that matters before the MDL panel have not been

resolved as anticipated by defendants, and that discovery in this matter is coming to

a close.

Plaintiffs have presented the court with compelling arguments in its response.

The court waited until April 26, 2010, to consider any Reply that defendants might

have to such arguments. None was filed and defendants failed to file a Notice with

the court as required by Local Civil Rule 7.1(E).

ORDER

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that defendants' "Motion to Continue Stay

of Discovery as to issues of Market Timing Involving Bank of America and its

Affiliated Mutual Funds Until May 7, 2010" (#163) is **DENIED**, the **STAY** is

LIFTED, and plaintiffs are instructed to serve appropriate discovery requests

promptly.

Signed: April 27, 2010

Dennis L. Howell

United States Magistrate Judge

-2-