IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 3:09-CV-200

| CLEVELAND CONSTRUCTION, INC. |) |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Plaintiff, |) |
| v. |) |
| FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE COMPANY, |))) |
| Defendant. |)) |
| |) |

AMENDED ORDER

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant's Motions in Limine [D.I. 67-74],

Plaintiff's Memoranda in Opposition to Defendant's Motions in Limine [D.I. 77-84], and

Defendant's Replies to Plaintiff's Responses [D.I. 85-89]. For the reasons stated in open court:

- 1. Defendant's Motion in Limine to *Exclude Reference to Claims Dismissed via Summary Judgment* [D.I. 67] is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part.
- 2. Defendant's Motion in Limine to *Exclude Evidence of Defendant's Financial Condition* [D.I. 68] is **GRANTED**.
- Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiff's Expert from Offering Opinions or Evidence with Respect to Specified Issues [D.I. 69] is GRANTED.
- 4. Defendant's Motion in Limine to *Preclude James Ludwig from Acting as Plaintiff's Trial Attorney* [D.I. 70] is **DENIED**.
- 5. Defendant's Motion in Limine to *Allow Counsel to Conduct Voir Dire* [D.I. 71] is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part.
- 6. Defendant's Motion in Limine Regarding Exclusion of Documents and/or Other Tangible Materials Not Produced in Discovery [D.I. 72] is **DENIED**.
- Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiff from Presenting Evidence of Breach of Contract Damages in Support of NC Gen Stat. 75-1.1 et. seq.
 [D.I. 73] is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.

8. Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiff from Offering Evidence with Respect to Punitive Damages, Defendant's Allegedly Willful and Wanton Conduct or its Allegedly Aggravating Conduct [D.I. 74] is GRANTED.

SO ORDERED.

This 26th day of July, 2011.

Signed: July 26, 2011

Auter

Graham C. Mullen United States District Judge