

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
CHARLOTTE DIVISION  
3:11-cv-215-RJC-DCK

FRED WOODROW MAUNEY, JR., )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 vs. )  
 )  
 CRICKET/BOJANGLES COLISEUM, )  
 CHARLOTTE REGIONAL VISITOR’S )  
 AUTHORITY, EX-POLICE CHIEF )  
 DARREL STEPHENS, OFFICER DOES )  
 1 THRU 20, JOHN DOE SECURITY )  
 OFFICER, PATRICK MCCRORY, )  
 ANTHONY FOXX, JOHN LASSITER, )  
 EDWIN PEACOCK, II, PATSY KINSEY, )  
 JAMES E. MITCHELL, JR., WARREN )  
 TURNER, MICHAEL, BARNES, NANCY )  
 CARTER, ANDY DULIN, and )  
 WARREN COOKSEY, )  
 )  
 Defendants. )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ )

ORDER

**THIS MATTER** comes before the Court on Defendant Charlotte Regional Visitors Authority’s Motion to Dismiss, (Doc. No. 35), Defendants Patrick McCrory, Anthony Foxx, John Lassiter, Edwin Peacock, III, Patsy Kinsey, James E. Mitchell, Jr., Michael Barnes, Nancy G. Carter, Andy Dulin, Warren Cooksey, Darrel Stephens and Warren Turner’s (collectively, the “City Defendants”) Motion to Dismiss, (Doc. No. 36), the City Defendants’ Motion for Sanctions as to Plaintiff, (Doc. No. 47), and the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation (“M&R”), (Doc. No. 59), recommending that this Court grant Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss and deny the City Defendants’ Motion for Sactions. The parties have not filed objections to the M&R and the time for doing so has expired. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2).

## **I. BACKGROUND**

Neither party has objected to the Magistrate Judge's statement of the factual and procedural background of this case, and the Court thus adopts the facts as set forth in the M&R.

## **II. STANDARD OF REVIEW**

The district court may assign dispositive pretrial matters pending before the court to a magistrate judge for “proposed findings of fact and recommendations.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). The Federal Magistrate Act provides that “a district court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specific proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” *Id.* at § 636(b)(1); Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1983). However, “when objections to strictly legal issues are raised and no factual issues are challenged, de novo review of the record may be dispensed with.” Orpiano v. Johnson, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir.1982). Similarly, de novo review is not required by the statute “when a party makes general or conclusory objections that do not direct the court to a specific error in the magistrate judge’s proposed findings and recommendations.” *Id.* “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting FED. R. CIV. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

## **III. DISCUSSION**

Under Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a district court judge shall make a de novo determination of any portion of an M&R to which specific written objection has been made. FED. R. CIV. 72(b). No objection to the M&R having been filed, the parties have waived their right to de novo review of any issue covered in the M&R. Nevertheless, this Court

has conducted a full and careful review of the M&R and other documents of record and, having done so, hereby finds that the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is, in all respects, in accordance with the law and should be approved. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as its own.

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that:

1. The Magistrate Judge's M&R, (Doc. No. 59), is **ADOPTED**;
2. Defendant Charlotte Regional Visitors Authority's Motion to Dismiss, (Doc. No. 35), is **GRANTED**;
3. The City Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, (Doc. No. 36), is **GRANTED**; and
4. The City Defendants' Motion for Sanctions as to Plaintiff, (Doc. No. 47), is **DENIED**.

Signed: November 28, 2012



Robert J. Conrad, Jr.  
Chief United States District Judge

