

controlling law, or (3) the need to correct a clear error or prevent manifest injustice. *See Pender v. Bank of America, Corp.*, 2011 WL 62115 (W.D.N.C. Jan. 7, 2011) (*internal citations omitted*); *Faris v. SFX Entm't, Inc.*, 2006 WL 3690632, *8 (W.D.N.C. Dec. 12, 2006) (“Reconsideration by re-argument is not proper under Rule 54.”).

Having reviewed the briefing submitted by both parties, the Court is not inclined to reconsider its earlier decision transferring this case to the District of Colorado. The Court’s previous decision does not amount to clear error or work any manifest injustice.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration [D.I. 29, 30] of the Court’s July 25, 2011 Order [D.I. 21] is hereby **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: September 26, 2011

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Graham C. Mullen", written over a horizontal line.

Graham C. Mullen
United States District Judge

