Kargarian v. Auto Zone Doc. 23

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 3:12CV144-MOC-DSC

MOHAMMAD M. KARGARIAN,)	
Plaintiff, v. AUTO ZONERS, LLC,)	
))	ORDER
))	
Defendant.)	
))	

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant's "Partial Motion to Dismiss" (document #16) filed April 30, 2012 and Plaintiff's "First Amended Complaint" (document #21) filed June 1, 2012.¹

This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, and the Motion is now ripe for consideration.

It is well settled that an amended pleading supersedes the original pleading, and that motions directed at superseded pleadings are to be denied as moot. Young v. City of Mount Ranier, 238 F. 3d 567, 573 (4th Cir. 2001) (amended pleading renders original pleading of no effect); Turner v. Kight, 192 F. Supp. 2d 391, 397 (D. Md. 2002) (denying as moot motion to dismiss original complaint on grounds that amended complaint superseded original complaint).

Accordingly, Defendant's "Partial Motion to Dismiss" (document #16) is administratively **DENIED** as moot without prejudice.

¹Plaintiff initially appeared <u>pro se.</u> On June 1, 2012, Plaintiff's counsel filed an "Appearance of Counsel" (document #20).

SO ORDERED.

Signed: June 4, 2012

David S. Cayer
United States Magistrate Judge