## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION CIVIL ACTION No. 3:12-cv-00294-DSC

INTERNATIONAL ENGINEERING & TRADING CORPORATION,	
Plaintiff,	
V.	
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY	
Defendant	
<b>v.</b>	
CLEVELAND HOLDINGS, INC. d/b/a	
D-TEK MANUFACTURING and T & B FOUNDRY COMPANY	

ORDER

**Counterclaim-Defendants** 

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court on "Defendants' Motion to Submit Substitute Memorandum in Support of Motion for Sanctions Due to Plaintiff's Spoliation of Evidence" (document #159).

Defendants admit that their initial "... Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motion for Sanctions Due to the Plaintiff's Spoliation of Evidence" (document #106) exceeded the Court's 3000 word limit. Accordingly, Defendants' "... Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motion for Sanctions Due to the Plaintiff's Spoliation of Evidence" (document #106) is **STRICKEN**.

For this reason and the other reasons stated in "Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for for Sanctions for Spoliation of Evidence" n. 1 at 3 (document #150), "Defendant's Motion to Submit Substitute Memorandum in Support of Motion for Sanctions Due to Plaintiff's Spoliation of Evidence" (document #159) is **DENIED**.

The Court will allow Defendants to file a reply brief in support of their "... Motion for Sanctions Due to the Plaintiff's Spoliation of Evidence" (document #105). The Court warns Defendants that the reply must be filed on or before September 13, 2013 (the date replies in support of the pending dispositive motions are due), and must comply with the Court's 2000 word limit for reply briefs.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: September 9, 2013

og St

David S. Cayer United States Magistrate Judge