

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION
CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:13-CV-697-DCK**

OCWEN LOAN SERVICING, LLC,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
FOODMAN, HUNTER & KARRES, PLLC,)
and JAMES W SURANE,)
)
Defendants.)

ORDER


THIS MATTER IS BEFORE THE COURT on “Defendants Motion To Compel Discovery Responses From Plaintiff” (Document No. 43) filed January 15, 2015. The parties have consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), and immediate review is appropriate. Having carefully considered the motion, the record, and applicable authority, the undersigned will deny the motion.

In response, Plaintiff contends it has fully responded to the requested discovery. (Document No. 48). Defendant has failed to file a reply, or notice of intent not to reply, pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(E). Under the circumstances, it appears that Defendants have abandoned their motion to compel.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that “Defendants Motion To Compel Discovery Responses From Plaintiff” (Document No. 43) is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: February 18, 2015



 David C. Keesler
 United States Magistrate Judge

