UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION

3:16-cv-108-RJC (3:13-cr-263-RJC-4)

RASHON DONTE HUNTER,)	
Petitioner,)	
vs.)	ORDER
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Respondent.))	

THIS MATTER is before the Court on its own motion following the filing of the Government's Motion to Dismiss. (Doc. No. 5). The Court notifies Petitioner that, in accordance with <u>Roseboro v. Garrison</u>, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), Petitioner has a right to respond to the Government's motion to dismiss.¹ The Court also advises Petitioner that failure to respond may result in dismissal of the petition.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner shall respond to the pending Motion to Dismiss, (Doc. No. 5), no later

¹ The Fourth Circuit did not hold in <u>Roseboro</u> that such notice is required for motions to dismiss. Rather, the Fourth Circuit's discussion in <u>Roseboro</u> regarding notice was directed to summary judgment motions. <u>See Roseboro v. Garrison</u>, 528 F.2d 309, 310 (4th Cir. 1975) ("We agree with the plaintiff, however, that there is another side to the coin which requires that the plaintiff be advised of his right to file counter-affidavits or other responsive material and alerted to the fact that his failure to so respond might result in the entry of summary judgment against him."); see also <u>Norman v. Taylor</u>, 25 F.3d 1259, 1261 (4th Cir. 1994) ("In <u>Roseboro v. Garrison</u>, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), this circuit held that pro se plaintiffs must be advised that their failure to file responsive material when a defendant moves for summary judgment may well result in entry of summary judgment against them."). Nevertheless, courts routinely issue <u>Roseboro</u> notices for motions to dismiss, and the Court does so here.

than fourteen (14) days from entry of this Order. Failure to file a timely and persuasive response will likely lead to the dismissal of this action.

Signed: April 10, 2017

Robert J. Conrad, Jr.

United States District Judge