

Recognizing that Plaintiff did not promptly seek an order to compel proper designation of a witness under Rule 30(b)(6), the Court will not stay the proceedings in this case to address the insufficiencies of the 30(b)(6) deposition of G4S Secure Solutions (USA), Inc. Instead, pursuant to Rule 30(d)(2), the Court will treat the testimony of Burchett to be in his personal capacity and as a Rule 30(b)(6) designee for purposes of the pending Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 27). The Court further orders Defendants to make a Rule 30(b)(6) designee promptly available to answer questions on the matters in the Notice but limited to the areas of Plaintiff's employment, Charlotte and Mid-Atlantic Region. Plaintiff shall have an additional 1 hour to conduct the deposition.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: November 29, 2017


Frank D. Whitney
Chief United States District Judge

