## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:21-CV-00474-GCM

ANDRE ANTONIO DAVIS,

Plaintiff.

v. <u>ORDER</u>

WALMART INCORPORATED WALMART STORE #5085 WALMART STORE #5298 WALMART HEADQUARTERS WALMART STORE #1385,

Defendants.

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on its own motion. The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's complaint in this matter (ECF No. 1), which it will dismiss as frivolous by separate order. THIS ORDER SERVES AS NOTICE THAT FURTHER FRIVOLOUS FILINGS MAY RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION OF A PRE-FILING REVIEW SYSTEM.

A pre-filing review system is not a sanction which is imposed lightly. *Haggins v. Graham*, Civil Case No. 3:21-cv-00340, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 138243, at \*8 (W.D.N.C. July 26, 2021) (Reidinger, C.J.). When such a system is imposed, all documents submitted by the plaintiff in the future, whether in this case or in any other action filed in this District, are pre-screened by the Court for content. Any proposed filings not made in good faith or which lack substance or merit would be returned to the plaintiff without further explanation. *See Vandyke v. Francis*, Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-128-RJC, 2012 WL 257646, at \*2 (W.D.N.C. July 3, 2012). Should the Court receive another frivolous filing from Plaintiff, the Court will issue an order to show cause why a pre-filing system should not be imposed.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

SO ORDERED.

- 1. Plaintiff is **ADVISED** that further frivolous filings without a basis in law or fact may result in the imposition of a pre-filing review system;
- 2. The Clerk is directed to **NOTIFY** the Court of further filings by Plaintiff.

Signed: September 15, 2021

Graham C. Mullen

United States District Judge