

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
STATESVILLE DIVISION  
CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:19-MC-00008-KDB-DSC**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

**Petitioner,**

**v.**

**KEVIN B. CRAFT,**

**Respondent.**

**ORDER**

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court concerning the Petition of the United States (Doc. No. 1), the October 10, 2019 Order to Show Cause (Doc. 2) and the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation (“M&R”) (Doc. No. 8), recommending that the Court grant the Petition of the United States to enforce a summons issued by the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) to Defendant Kevin B. Craft. The parties have not filed an objection to the M&R, and the time for doing so has expired. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2).

**I. BACKGROUND**

No party has objected to the Magistrate Judge’s statement of the factual and procedural background of this case. Therefore, the Court adopts the facts as set forth in the M&R. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985) (explaining the Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge to which no objections have been raised).

**II. STANDARD OF REVIEW**

A district court may designate a magistrate judge to “submit to a judge of the court proposed findings of fact and recommendations for the disposition” of dispositive pretrial

matters, including motions to dismiss. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Any party may object to the magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations, and the court “shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation” and need not give any explanation for adopting the M&R. *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005); *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1983). Also, the Court does not perform a de novo review where a party makes only “general and conclusory objections that do not direct the court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations.” *Orpiano v. Johnson*, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). After reviewing the record, the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

### **III. DISCUSSION**

Having carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's M&R, the relevant portions of the record and applicable legal authority, this Court is satisfied that there is no clear error as to the M&R, to which no objection was made. *Diamond*, 416 F.3d at 315. Accordingly, this Court finds that it should adopt the findings and recommendations set forth in the M&R as its own and that the Petition of the United States (Doc. No. 1) should be granted and the IRS Summons issued to Kevin B. Craft on or about March 21, 2019 should be enforced.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that:

1. The Magistrate Judge's M&R, (Doc. No. 8), is **ADOPTED**; and
2. Petitioner's Petition (Doc. No. 1), is **GRANTED**; and Kevin B. Craft is hereby Ordered to fully comply with and obey the Summons issued to him by the IRS on or about March 21, 2019 by producing to Revenue Officer Teresa H. Driver, or any other person designated by the IRS, all books , papers, records , and other data that are demanded by the Summons and that are in his possession, custody, or control, by no later than April 3, 2020, by appearing on April 3, 2020 at 10:00 a.m., at the IRS 's office located at 4905 Koger Blvd, Suite 102, Greensboro, NC 27407, before Revenue Officer Driver, or any other person designated by the IRS, and give testimony, as demanded by the Summons.

**SO ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED.**

Signed: March 3, 2020



Kenneth D. Bell  
United States District Judge

