IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA SOUTHWESTERN DIVISION

|) |
|---|
|) |
|) |
| ORDER TO STRIKE UNAUTHORIZED AMENDED PLEADING |
|) |
|))) |
|) Case No. 1:09-cv-075 |
|) |
| |
|))) |
|) |
|) |
|) |
|) |
|) |
| |

On August 27, 2010, plaintiff filed a document captioned "Amended Answer in Verified Affidavit or Specific Negative Averment, Opportunity to Cure, and Counterclaim."

Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides in relevant part that "a party may amend the party's pleading <u>only by leave of court or by written consent of the adverse party</u>; and leave shall be freely given when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) (emphasis added).

Plaintiff has not sought leave of court to amend his answer or otherwise moved to join

additional parties as required by the rules of procedure. Consequently, the court does not construe

the document captioned "Amended Answer in Verified Affidavit or Specific Negative Averment,

Opportunity to Cure, and Counterclaim" as an operative pleading and **ORDERS** that it be stricken

from the record. Plaintiff is further advised, absent an approved amendment, that all of his future

filings contain the caption set forth above or a properly abbreviated form. In particular, there is no

separate "admiralty action."

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 27th day of August, 2010.

/s/ Charles S. Miller, Jr.

Charles S. Miller, Jr.

United States Magistrate Judge

2