## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA NORTHWESTERN DIVISION

Dawn Carter as personal representative	)
of the Estate of Jereme Loydd Mortinson,	)
deceased, and Dawn Carter, on behalf of	)
the heirs and next of kin of Jereme Loydd	)
Mortinson, deceased,	)
	)
Plaintiffs,	) ORDER
	)
VS.	)
	)
EOG Resources, Inc., Crude Processing,	)
Inc., a/k/a Midstream Services, LLC,	)
Superior Well Services, Inc., Halliburton	)
Energy Services, Inc.,	)
	) Case No. 4:12-cv-003
Defendants.	)
	)
	)
EOG Resources, Inc.,	ý
, ,	ý
Third-Party Plaintiff,	)
;	ý
vs.	ý
	)
S&S Sales 2008, Inc.,	)
Sees Sales 2000, men,	)
Third-Party Defendant.	)
Third Tarty Defendant.	)
	)
S&S Sales 2008, Inc.,	)
Sees Sales 2000, Inc.,	)
Fourth-Party Defendant,	)
Pourti-1 arty Derendant,	)
NO	)
VS.	<i>)</i>
DEC Investments IIC	)
RES Investments, LLC,	)
Fourth Darter Defendent	)
Fourth-Party Defendant.	)

The court shall conduct a status conference with the parties by telephone on February 25, 2014,

at 10:00 a.m. CST. Participants to the conference call should call the following number and enter the following access code:

Tel. No: 877-848-7030 Access Code: 9768929

The purpose of the conference call is to clear up discrepancies in the record as to which parties remain in this action<sup>1</sup> and otherwise visit with the parties to gauge their interest in a court-hosted settlement conference.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 11th day of February, 2014.

<u>/s/ Charles S. Miller, Jr.</u> Charles S. Miller, Jr., Magistrate Judge United States District Court

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On September 26, 2013, the parties filed a stipulation for dismissal of plaintiffs' claims against Superior Well Services, Inc., Haliburton Energy Services, and Crude Processing, Inc., formerly known as CCS Midstream Services, LLC, now known as Tervita LLC ("Crude Processing"), without prejudice. (Docket No. 33). The court adopted the stipulation in an order dated September 28, 2013. (Docket No. 34).

Although the claims against Crude Processing had been dismissed, it appears that Crude Processing filed responses to plaintiffs' subsequent motion to amend their complaint and also joined in an appeal of the undersigned order granting plaintiffs' motion to amend. (Docket Nos. 50, 58, 62-63).