IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

Scot Decker,)
Plaintiff,) TROY BAKKEN DEPOSITION) RULINGS
V.) Case No. 4:14-cv-00088
I.E. Miller Services, Inc., et. al)
Defendants)

The following are the court's rulings with respect to the designations and objections by the parties for the presentation of the deposition testimony of the above-named witness.

Party	Designation	Objection	Ruling	Allowed
D	5/9-9/6	P objects to 8/12-8/14 as leading	Sustained	5/9-8/11 8/17-9/6
P	*5/9-6/5			
P	*6/24-7/6			
P	*7/8-7/8			
P	*7/12-8/2			
D	9/12-13/24	P objects to 12/6-12/22 and 13/4-13/13 as violating order re motions in limine, lack of relevancy and foundation	Overruled	9/12-12/9 12/14-13/5 13/7-13/13
		P objects to 13/15-13/25 on same grounds	Sustained	
P	*9/12-10/14			

D	14/1-15/2		Excluded 14/2- 14/24 based on order re motions in limine re Murex	14/25-15/2
P	*14/14-14/19			
D	15/20-16/9			15/20-16/9
D	17/2-17/8			17/2-17/8
P	18/1-18/17			18/1-18/17
D	18/23-19/11			18/23-19/11
P	20/7-20/21			20/7-20/21
P	21/18-22/24			21/18-22/24
D	22/13-23/17			22/13-23/17
P	24/3-25/13			24/3-25/13
P	25/15-25/15			25/15-25/15
P	25/17-25/25		Excluded on Rule 403 confusion grounds	
P	26/01-27/16	D objects based on motion in limine rulings and lack of relevance	Overruled	26/1-27/16
P	27/18-27/18			27/18-27/18
P	27/20-28/4			27/20-28/4
P	28/6-28/6			28/6-28/6
P	28/8-28/15			28/8-28/15
P	28/23-29/4			28/23-29/4
P	29/8-32/1			29/8-32/1
P	32/11-33/13			32/11-33/13
P	33/16-33/18			33/16-33/18

P	33/24-36/2	D objects to 34/4 to 35/6 based on argumentative, asked and answered, speculation, and Rule 403 D further objects on same grounds to 35/7 to 37/20 on same grounds and because improperly refer to other witness testimony	Overruled - form objection waived when not made at the time and witness agreed with the point of the question in any event at 35/12	33/24-36/2
P	36/4-37/20	See above	Overruled	36/4-37/20
P	38/20-38/25	D objects to 38/20 to 40/8 as relating to testimony that the court stated it would exclude with respect to witness Anderson and hearsay	Sustained - lacks relevancy given court's rulings	
P	39/1-39/23	See above	Sustained - lacks relevancy given court's rulings	
P	39/25-39-25	See above	Sustained	
P	40/2-40/8	See above	Sustained in part and overruled in part	40/5-40/8
P	40/11-43/10	D objects to 40/11 to 47/25 under Rules 402-03 as being irrelevant and wasting time	Overruled	40/11-43/10
P	43/12-43/14	See above	Overruled	43/12-43/14
P	43/16-43/23	See above	Overruled	43/16-43/23
P	43/25-44-02	See above	Overruled	43/25-44-02
P	44/06-47-25	See above	Overruled	44/06-47-25

P	48/18-49/2	D objects 48/18 for lack of relevancy and waste of time under Rule 402-03 grounds but adds that if admitted that 48/14-48/17 needs to be played	Overruled but include 48/14-48/17	48/14-49/2
P	49/4-51/4	See above	Overruled	49/4-51/4
P	51/7-52/1	See above	Overruled	51/7-52/1

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 8th day of February, 2018.

/s/ Charles S. Miller, Jr.
Charles S. Miller, Jr., Magistrate Judge
United States District Court