UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

MIGUEL LOPEZ PAGAN,) CASE NO. 1:08 CV 1833
Petitioner,) JUDGE DAN AARON POLSTER
v.	
STATE OF OHIO,) <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u>) <u>AND ORDER</u>
Respondent.)

On July 29, 2008, petitioner <u>pro se</u> Miguel Lopez Pagan filed the above-captioned petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Pagan seeks to challenge his conviction, pursuant to a no contest plea, for felonious assault with firearm specifications. For the reasons stated below, the petition is denied and this action dismissed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

A federal court may entertain a habeas petition filed by a person in state custody only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a). In addition, a petitioner must have exhausted all available state remedies. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b).

It appears evident on the face of the petition that Pagan has not yet sought review of his conviction in the Ohio Supreme Court. Such review may be available,<u>see</u> Ohio Sup.Ct.R.P. II, sec. 2(A)(4)(a), and must be sought in order to exhaust state court remedies. <u>Rust v. Zent</u>, 17 F.3d 155, 160 (6th Cir. 1994).

Accordingly, the petition is denied and this action is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Further, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis on which to issue a certificate of appealability. Fed. R.App.P. 22(b); 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>/s/Dan Aaron Polster 9/29/08</u> DAN AARON POLSTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE