



Case No. 1:10-CV-2918  
Gwin, J.

exceeded the number of interrogatories permitted under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1) and directed him, should he wish, “to resubmit no more than twenty-five interrogatories to each Defendant.” [Doc. [63](#).] The Court also found that Falzone’s requests for admission “impose[d] undue burden or expense when measured against the minimal value of asking whether parties have knowledge of various matters.” *Id.* Accordingly, the Court granted the July 1, 2011, motion for a protective order.

Inasmuch as Sweet requests the same relief the Court granted the other Defendants, the Court **GRANTS** his motion for the reasons previously set forth. *See id.* Sweet need not respond to Falzone’s pre-September 13, 2011, discovery requests. Falzone may, however, submit interrogatories, requests for admission, and requests for production to Sweet consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court’s order of September 13, 2011, *id.* Indeed, Falzone represents that his “revised discovery to each Defendant is presently being prepared and should be forthcoming shortly.” [Doc. [70](#).]

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 7, 2011

s/ *James S. Gwin*  
JAMES S. GWIN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE