Andrews v. Gansheimer Doc. 12

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL ANDREWS	) CASE NO. 1:11CV2310
Petitioner,	) ) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
-VS-	) ) ) MEMORANDUM OF OPINION
BARRY GOODRICH	) AND ORDER
Warden,	)
	)
Respondant.	)

This matter came before the Court on Petitioner's petition for habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254. Doc. 2. This Court referred the matter to the Magistrate Judge. The Magistrate Judge submitted a report and recommendation recommending that the petition should be dismissed with prejudice. Doc. 11

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) provides that the parties may object to a report and recommendation within 14 days after service. Petitioner did not file any objections to the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984); *Howard v. Sec'y of Health and Human Servs.*, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

Accordingly, the report and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is hereby adopted.

The Petition for Habeas Corpus is DISMISSED with prejudice. The Court certifies, pursuant to

28 U.S.C. §1915(A)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 19, 2012 /s/ John R. Adams

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE