

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

<p>TALIB ZAYID,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</p>	<p>⋮</p> <p>⋮</p> <p>⋮</p> <p>⋮</p> <p>⋮</p> <p>⋮</p> <p>⋮</p> <p>⋮</p> <p>⋮</p>	<p>CASE NO. 1:13-CV-54</p> <p>OPINION & ORDER [Resolving Doc. No. 1]</p>
---	--	--

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

On January 9, 2013, Plaintiff Zayid filed a complaint seeking judicial review of Defendant Commissioner of Social Security’s decision to deny him disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income.^{1/} Under Local Rule 72.2, the Court referred the petition to Magistrate Judge Kenneth S. McHargh for a Report and Recommendation. On November 19, 2013, Magistrate Judge McHargh issued a report recommending this Court affirm the Commissioner’s decision.^{2/} The Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation and **DISMISSES** Plaintiff’s complaint.

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection.^{3/}

^{1/} Doc. [1](#).
^{2/} Doc. [15](#).
^{3/} [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)](#).

Case No. 1:13-CV-54
Gwin, J.

Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service.^{4/} Failure to object within that time waives a party's right to appeal the magistrate judge's recommendation.^{5/} Absent objection, a district court may adopt the Magistrate Judge's report without review.^{6/}

In this case, neither party objected to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** in whole Magistrate Judge McHargh's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it fully herein by reference. The Court **DISMISSES** Plaintiff's complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 7, 2014

s/ James S. Gwin

JAMES S. GWIN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

^{4/} [Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(a\)](#).

^{5/} [Id.](#); see [Thomas v. Arn](#), 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); [United States v. Walters](#), 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

^{6/} [Thomas](#), 474 U.S. at 149.