UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

MATTHEW FRYE, JR., Plaintiff, v. CHIEF JUDGE SOLOMON OLIVER, JR., Defendant. CASE NO. 1:14 CV 2443 JUDGE DAN AARON POLSTER <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u> <u>AND ORDER</u>

On November 5, 2014, plaintiff *pro se* Matthew Frye, Jr., an inmate at the Northeast Ohio Correctional Center, filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action against Northern District of Ohio Chief Judge Solomon Oliver, Jr. Plaintiff alleges he has sent letters and filed motions with the court complaining about conditions of his confinement, and asserts Judge Oliver has been deliberately indifferent to his needs. For the reasons stated below, this action is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

A district court is expressly required to dismiss any civil action filed by a prisoner seeking relief from a governmental officer or entity, as soon as possible after docketing, if the court concludes that the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or if the plaintiff seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §1915A; *Siller v. Dean*, No. 99-5323, 2000 WL 145167, at *2 (6th Cir. Feb. 1, 2000).

A cause of action fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted when it lacks "plausibility in the complaint." *Bell At. Corp. V. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 564 (2007). A

pleading must contain a "short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 677-78 (2009). The factual allegations in the pleading must be sufficient to raise the right to relief above the speculative level on the assumption that all the allegations in the complaint are true. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. The plaintiff is not required to include detailed factual allegations, but must provide more than "an unadorned, the-defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation." *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678 (2009). A pleading that offers legal conclusions or a simple recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not meet this pleading standard. *Id*.

Even construing the complaint liberally in a light most favorable to the plaintiff, *Brand v. Motley*, 526 F.3d 921, 924 (6th Cir. 2008), it does not contain allegations reasonably suggesting he might have a valid federal claim. *See*, *Lillard v. Shelby County Bd. of Educ*, 76 F.3d 716 (6th Cir. 1996)(court not required to accept summary allegations or unwarranted legal conclusions in determining whether complaint states a claim for relief). Morever, Judge Oliver is immune from liability for actions taken within the scope of his official duties. *Pierson v. Ray*, 387 U.S. 547 (1967).

Accordingly, this action is dismissed under section 1915A. Further, the court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>/s/Dan Aaron Polster 12/11/14</u> DAN AARON POLSTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE