UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

GARY E. TRITTO,) CASE NO. 1:15 CV 2202
Plaintiff,) JUDGE DONALD C. NUGENT
v.)) MEMORANDUM OF OPINION
CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERIC	,
Defendant.)

On October 26, 2015, plaintiff *pro se* Gary E. Tritto, formerly an inmate at the Lake Erie Correctional Center (LECC), filed this *in forma pauperis* action against Corrections Corporation of America. He alleges in the complaint that his prosthetic leg wore out during his incarceration at LECC, thus requiring he use a wheelchair. He feels the prosthetic leg should have been repaired, and was told he would not be permitted to take the wheelchair with him upon his release.

Although *pro se* pleadings are liberally construed, *Boag v. MacDougall*, 454 U.S. 364, 365 (1982) (per curiam), the district court is required to dismiss an action under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) if it fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or if it lacks an arguable basis in law or fact. ¹ *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319 (1989); *Hill v. Lappin*, 630 F.3d 468, 470 (6th Cir. 2010).

¹ An *in forma pauperis* claim may be dismissed *sua sponte*, without prior notice to the plaintiff and without service of process on the defendant, if the court explicitly states that it is invoking section 1915(e) [formerly 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d)] and is dismissing the claim for one of the reasons set forth in the statute. *Chase Manhattan Mortg. Corp. v. Smith*,

A cause of action fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted when it lacks

"plausibility in the complaint." Bell At. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 564 (2007). A pleading

must contain a "short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief."

Ashcroft v. Igbal, 556 U.S. 662, 677-78 (2009). The factual allegations in the pleading must be

sufficient to raise the right to relief above the speculative level on the assumption that all the

allegations in the complaint are true. Twombly, 550 U.S. at 555. The plaintiff is not required to

include detailed factual allegations, but must provide more than "an unadorned,

the-defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation." *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678 (2009). A pleading that

offers legal conclusions or a simple recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not meet this

pleading standard. Id.

Even construing the Complaint liberally in a light most favorable to the plaintiff, Brand v.

Motley, 526 F.3d 921, 924 (6th Cir. 2008), it does not contain allegations reasonably suggesting he

might have a valid federal claim against the named defendant. See, Lillard v. Shelby County Bd. of

Educ, 76 F.3d 716 (6th Cir. 1996) (court not required to accept summary allegations or unwarranted

legal conclusions in determining whether complaint states a claim for relief).

Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis is granted, and this action is dismissed

under section 1915(e). Further, the court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal

from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

/s/Donald C. Nugent, 11/09/15

DONALD C. NUGENT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

507 F.3d 910, 915 (6th Cir. 2007); Gibson v. R.G. Smith Co., 915 F.2d 260, 261 (6th Cir.

1990); Harris v. Johnson, 784 F.2d 222, 224 (6th Cir. 1986).

-2-