

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

DAVID HATTEN,)	CASE NO. 1:16-cv-00242-DAP
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE DAN AARON POLSTER
)	
vs.)	<u>ORDER ADOPTING REPORT</u>
)	<u>AND RECOMMENDATION</u>
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)	
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION)	
)	
Defendant.)	

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Jonathan D. Greenberg (“R & R”), Doc #. 14, which recommends that the Court affirm the Commissioner’s final decision. The R & R was filed on December 2, 2016. It is now December 20, and no objections to the R & R have been filed.

Under the relevant statute,

Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The failure to timely file written objections to a Magistrate Judge’s

R & R constitutes a waiver of the right to obtain a *de novo* review of the R & R in the district court. *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 (6th Cir. 1981); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985). The failure to file written objections also results in a waiver of the right to appeal. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

Here, the time for objection has passed and no objections have been filed. Nonetheless, the Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge’s thorough R & R. The Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge that Hatten’s two claimed grounds for relief are without merit and that the Commissioner’s final decisions should be affirmed. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the R & R, Doc. # 14, in full and DISMISSES the above-captioned case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Dan A. Polster Dec. 20, 2016

DAN AARON POLSTER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE