



available for deposition on August 14, 2017. Again, this email made no mention of any concerns with C.H. testifying at a deposition.

On July 18, 2017, plaintiff's counsel indicated that C.H. was not able to testify due to psychological concerns. On August 2, 2017, defense counsel responded, indicating that the deposition could proceed at the office of plaintiff's counsel, and further offered to have a "conversation about things we can do to make [C.H.] more comfortable." Plaintiff did not respond to defense counsel's offer and, instead, filed a motion for a protective order a mere three days before the deposition is scheduled to proceed.

The Court has reviewed the motion and finds that, in large part, it is not well-taken. The Court ORDERS that the deposition shall proceed as scheduled at the office of plaintiff's counsel. The Court will, however, limit the deposition to three hours, excluding any time taken for reasonable breaks. In addition, C.H. will be permitted to request reasonable breaks within that three-hour time frame, provided no question is pending. The motion is DENIED in all other respects.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Patricia A. Gaughan  
PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN  
United States District Judge  
Chief Judge

Dated: 8/16/17